

TEAL & MONTGOMERY

STEVEN O. TEAL (Bar No. 58454)
MICHAEL S. HENDERSON (Bar No. 175608)
815 Fifth Street, Suite 200
Santa Rosa, California 95404
Telephone: (707) 525-1212
Facsimile: (707) 544-1388

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

Michele Ballard Miller (SBN 104198)
Katherine L. Kettler (SBN 213586)
MILLER LAW GROUP
A Professional Corporation
111 Sutter Street, Suite 700
San Francisco, CA 94104
Tel. (415) 464-4300
Fax (415) 464-4336

Attorneys for DEFENDANTS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PAUL MAZUR,

Plaintiff,

vs.

PACIFIC TELESIS GROUP
COMPREHENSIVE DISABILITY
BENEFITS PLAN, AT&T UMBRELLA
BENEFIT PLAN NO. 1, and DOES 1
through 50, Inclsuive,

Defendants.

Case No. C 07-1904 JSW

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER REMOVING FROM THE
DOCKET PLAINTIFF'S FILINGS
WHICH CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL
INFORMATION**

1 On November 7, 2008, Plaintiff filed an Amended Cross-Motion and Opposition re
2 Summary Judgement, and a Declaration of Michael S. Henderson in Support. Plaintiff
3 inadvertently failed to file certain portions of these documents under seal, as required by the
4 Stipulated Protective Order signed by the Court on October 22, 2008.

5 Plaintiff has arranged for the Clerk's Office to place a "temporary lock" on these filings,
6 which appear on the Docket as Document Nos. 92 and 93. The parties now stipulate to and
7 request an order by the Court that these two documents be permanently removed from the
8 Docket. Plaintiff will re-file these documents with the appropriate portions under seal pursuant
9 to Civil L.R. 79-5.
10

11 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

12 Dated: November 10, 2008

TEAL & MONTGOMERY

13
14 By: _____ /S/
15 MICHAEL S. HENDERSON
16 Attorney for Plaintiff

17 Dated: November 10, 2008

MILLER LAW GROUP

18
19 By: _____ /S/
20 KATHERINE L. KETTLER
21 Attorney for Defendants
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

For good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the following documents appearing on the Docket shall be permanently removed:

1. Document No. 92 on the Docket, entitled Amended MOTION for Summary Judgment Cross-Motion for Summary Judgement and Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgement.
2. Document No. 93 on the Docket, entitled Declaration of Michael S. Henderson in Support of 92 Amended MOTION for Summary Judgment Cross-Motion for Summary Judgement and Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary

Judgement. To the extent Plaintiff seeks to re-file these documents, or portions of these documents, Plaintiff must file a motion in compliance with Civil Local Rule 79-5.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 12, 2008


JEFFREY S. WHITE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE