

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3
4
5 CLAUDIA STEMPIEN, et al.,
6 Plaintiffs,

7 v.

8 ELI LILLY AND COMPANY and
9 MCKESSON CORPORATION,
10 Defendants.

NO. C06-1811 TEH

ORDER GRANTING MOTION
TO RELATE CASE AND ORDER
STAYING CASE NO. C07-1920

11
12 MICHAEL COONLEY, et al.,
13 Plaintiffs,

14 v.

15 MCKESSON CORPORATION and
16 ELI LILLY AND COMPANY,
17 Defendants.

NO. C07-1920 JCS

18
19 These matters come before the Court on Defendant Eli Lilly and Company's motion
20 to relate *Coonley, et al. v. McKesson Corporation, et al.*, Case No. 07-1920 JCS, to
21 *Stempien, et al. v. Eli Lilly and Company, et al.*, Case No. 06-1811 TEH. Neither set of
22 plaintiffs objected to Eli Lilly's motion, and the time for filing a response to the motion
23 under Civil Local Rule 3-12 has now expired.

24 Having reviewed Eli Lilly's papers, the Court finds that the two cases meet the
25 requirements for relation under Civil Local Rule 3-12, and Eli Lilly's motion to relate cases
26 is therefore GRANTED. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-12(f)(3), the Clerk shall reassign
27 *Coonley* to the undersigned judge. Counsel are instructed that all future filings shall bear the
28 initials "TEH" immediately after the case number.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that *Coonley* shall be STAYED for the reasons set forth in this Court's May 4, 2006 order in *Stempien* (Case No. 06-1811, docket no. 26).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 04/17/07



THELTON E. HENDERSON, JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT