

1 DEK KETCHUM, SB #48109
 JAY M. GOLDMAN, SB #168141
 2 LAW OFFICES OF DEK KETCHUM
 900 Veterans Boulevard, Suite 600
 3 Redwood City, Calif. 94063
 Telephone: (650) 368-2588
 4 Facsimile: (650) 369-7183
 Dekket@pacbell.net
 5 JGoldman1@aol.com

6 Attorneys for Defendant
 Farr Financial, Inc.

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

11 HOWARD B. HIGGINS, an individual,)
 12 Plaintiff,)
 13 vs.)
 14 FARR FINANCIAL, INC., a California)
 15 Corporation, ZENITH INVESTMENT)
 16 GROUP LLC, a California Limited)
 Liability Company, AMAECHI)
 17 GEORGE OZOR, an individual, and)
 JOHN/JANE DOES I-X, individuals or)
 18 entities whose identities are unknown,)
 19 Defendants.)

No. C 07-02200 JSW
**STIPULATION BY PLAINTIFF
 AND DEFENDANT FARR
 FINANCIAL, INC. TO EXTEND
 TIME BY FOUR DAYS FOR
 ALL DEFENDANTS TO
 RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S
 THIRD AMENDED
 COMPLAINT**
 Judge: Hon. Jeffery S. White
 Ctrm.: 2, 17th Floor
 Trial: None Set

21 Defendant Farr Financial, Inc. ("Farr") and Plaintiff Howard B. Higgins
 22 ("Higgins"), hereby stipulate to provide for Farr to have four (4) additional days, from
 23 Thursday August 20, 2009 through Monday, August 24, 2009, to file a response to
 24 Higgins' Third Amended Complaint.

25 Good cause exists to support this stipulation and the request that this Court grant
 26 the accompanying proposed order. Due to a trial and vacation schedules, counsel for
 27 Farr require an additional four (4) calendar days (which is only two (2) additional
 28

1 court days) to prepare and file a response to the Third Amended Complaint.


2 There has only been one prior request for an extension of time to respond to the
3 Third Amended Complaint, which was for a ten day extension of time. Moreover, the
4 parties do not know of any other deadlines or events set in this case, and therefore
5 conclude that the requested four (4) day extension will not have any other effect on the
6 schedule of this case.

7 This stipulation and proposed order, if it is granted, avoids the necessity for Farr
8 to file, and for this Court to adjudicate, an administrative motion under Local Rule 7-11
9 in this regard. Therefore, the parties respectfully request that the Court grant their
10 proposed order.

11
12 IT IS SO STIPULATED:


13
14 Dated: August 17, 2009

LAW OFFICES OF DEK KETCHUM

15
16 By: 
17 JAY M. GOLDMAN
18 Attorneys for Defendant Farr Financial, Inc.

19 Dated: August 17, 2009

NORMAN G. REECE, P.C.

20
21 By: 
22 NORMAN G. REECE, JR.
23 Attorney for Plaintiff Howard B. Higgins
24 (Pro Hac Vice)
25
26
27
28


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

Good cause appearing, **IT IS SO ORDERED** that the stipulation and proposed order is **GRANTED**. Farr shall have through August 24, 2009, to file a response to the Third Amended Complaint in this mater.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 19, 2009



HON. JEFFREY S. WHITE
United States District Judge