Vixon et al v	Wyndham Resort Development Corp.,	et al			Doc. 2	
	Case 3:07-cv-02361-JSW	Document 244	Filed 04/21/2009	Page 1 of 3		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Jonathan K. Levine (State Bar No. 2 jkl@girardgibbs.com Elizabeth C. Pritzker (State Bar No. ecp@girardgibbs.com Todd I. Espinosa (State Bar No. 209 tie@girardgibbs.com GIRARD GIBBS LLP 601 California Street, Suite 1400 San Francisco, California 94108 Telephone: (415) 981-4800 Facsimile: (415) 981-4846	146267) 9591)				
8 9	Attorneys for Individual and Repres Plaintiffs Clarke and Rebecca Wixo Norman and Barbara Wixon					
10 11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
13	Clarke and Rebecca Wixon and Nor and Barbara Wixon, derivatively and		Case No. C 07-02361 JS	SW (BZ)		
14 15	themselves and all others similarly s	situated, E	PROPOSED] ORDEF DIRECTOR DEFEND	ANTS'		
16	Plaintiffs, v.	I	ADMINISTRATIVE M PERMISSION TO EX	CEED PAGE		
17 18	Wyndham Resort Development Cor Trendwest Resorts, Inc.), Gene Hen Herrick, John Henley, Peggy Fry an McConnell, and nominally, WorldN	sley, David H d John A	LIMIT FOR MOTION PLAINTIFFS AS REP AND THE DERIVATI PURSUANT TO FED.	RESENTATIVES VE ACTION		
19 20	Club,					
21	Defendants.	(CLASS AND DERIVA	TIVE ACTION		
22						
23 24						
24						
26						
27 28						
20						
	[PROPOSED] ORDER DEN	YING DIRECTOR DE CASE NO. C 07-02		RATIVE MOTION		
				Docket	s.Justia.c	

[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION

Having reviewed the parties' papers and considered their arguments and the relevant legal authority, and good cause appearing, the Court hereby DENIES the administrative motion of the Director Defendants for permission to exceed the page limit on their motion to dismiss Plaintiffs as representatives and the derivative action pursuant to Rule 23.1 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Director Defendants have both failed to demonstrate any basis for departing from the

generally applicable page limit set forth in the Court's Civil Standing Order No. 6 and failed to

$\ - \frac{1}{2} + $	
comply with the requirements of Civil Local Rule 7-11(a)	Γ.

10 This Court is well versed in the facts and procedural history of this case. Accordingly, the Court does not find there is good cause to grant the Director Defendants' request.

11 || IT IS SO ORDERED.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

13 Dated: <u>April 21</u>, 2009.

uy States

JEHTREN S. WHITE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE