1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

2)
3	ABHINAV BHATNAGAR,) Case No.: 3:07-cv-02669 (CRB)(EDL)
4	Plaintiff,)) PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED ORDER RE:
5	VS.	DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS FORSUMMARY JUDGMENT
6	JASON INGRASSIA, individually and in)
7	his official capacity; COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA; and CITY OF)
8	SAN RAMON.	
9	Defendants.))
10		<u></u>

This matter is before the court upon Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment, filed on 10/27/08 and 11/5/08, and argued on 11/24/08. In addition to the Court's Order filed on 11/25/08, the Court hereby issues the following rulings based upon Plaintiff's voluntary dismissal of the following claims:

- 1. Plaintiff's 1st Cause of Action (§ 1983 against Defendant Ingrassia) is partially dismissed as to Plaintiff's claim for malicious prosecution with regard to the 5/20/06 incident only. Plaintiff's remaining claims under this cause of action shall go forward.
- 2. Plaintiff's 2nd Cause of Action (§ 1983 against Contra Costa County) is partially dismissed as to Plaintiff's claim for malicious prosecution with regard to the 5/20/06 incident only. Plaintiff's remaining claims under this cause of action shall go forward.
- 3. Plaintiff's 4th Cause of Action (Title VI claim) is dismissed against the County of Contra Costa and the City of San Ramon.
- 4. Plaintiff's 5th Cause of Action (malicious prosecution) is dismissed against all Defendants.

The Honorable Char

United States Distri

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December <u>16</u>, 2008

BHATNAGAR V. INGRASSIA, CASE NO. 07-02669 (CRB)
PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS FOR SUMM
PAGE 1 OF 1