1	Alan Himmelfarb LAW OFFICES OF ALAN HIMMELFARB 2757 Leonis Blvd	
2		
3	Los Angeles, CA 90058 Telephone: (323) 585-8696 Fax: (323) 585-8198	
4	consumerlaw1@earthlink.net	
5	Scott A. Kamber Ethan Preston	
6	KAMBER & ASSOCIATES, LLC 11 Broadway, 22d Floor	
7	New York, NY 10004 Telephone: (212) 920-3072	
8	Fax: (212) 202-6364 skamber@kolaw.com	
9	epreston@kolaw.com	
10	Counsel for Plaintiffs	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
13		
14	MATTHEW ELVEY, an individual, and GADGETWIZ, INC., an Arizona	Case No. C 07 2852 MJJ
15	corporation, on their own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	STIPULATED [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING HEARING DATE ON
16	Plaintiffs	MOTIONS TO DISMISS AND FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
17	V.	Judge: Martin J. Jenkins
18	TD AMERITRADE, INC., a New York	Judge. Martin J. Jenkins
19	corporation, and DOES 1 to 100,	
20	Defendants.	
21		
22	GROUNDS	
23	Plaintiffs Matthew Elvey and Gadgetwiz.com filed a First Amended Complaint	
24	against Defendant TD Ameritrade, Inc. ("TD Ameritrade"), on June 28, 2007, and Motion for	
25	Preliminary Injunction and Class Certification on July 10, 2007.	
26	2. TD Ameritrade filed a Motion to Dismiss the Plaintiffs' First Amended	
27	Complaint on July 18, 2007.	
28	CTIDIII ATEI	-1- D [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING HEARING DATE
	SHFULATEL	CASE NO.: C-07-02852-MJJ

1

5

6

11

12

9

13

14

15 16

17 18

20

19

21 22

23 24

25

26

27 28

- 3. On July 20, 2007, the parties filed a stipulated proposed order extending the briefing schedules and continuing the hearing date for the Motions to September 18, 2007, and the Court approved the stipulated proposed order on July 26, 2007. The parties' respective Motions are presently scheduled to be heard by the Court at 2 p.m. on September 18, 2007.
- 4. TD Ameritrade filed a Motion for Extension of Time to File Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Class Certification on August 22, 2007, asserting that there was a significant development in its internal investigation of possible unauthorized acquisition of customer e-mail addresses from its computer systems, and that this development may significantly affect its arguments in opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction.
- 5. Plaintiffs opposed TD Ameritrade's Motion for Extension of Time on the grounds that the Motion did not set forth with particularity the reasons for the extension, as required under Civil L.R. 6-3(a)(1). Plaintiffs filed their opposition to the Motion for Extension of Time on August 23, 2007.
- 6. The Court denied TD Ameritrade's Motion for Extension of Time on August 23, 2007.
- 7. The parties recently completed negotiating the stipulated protective order, and the Court approved and entered the stipulated protective order on September 5, 2007.
- 8. Pursuant to the protective order, TD Ameritrade has since provided Plaintiffs further information that clarified the basis for its prior request for an extension described in Paragraph 4. Based on this clarification, the Parties believe that the sharing of further information coupled with an in-person meeting between the parties prior to the hearing on the Motion for Preliminary Injunction regarding the subject matter of the Motion for Preliminary Injunction serves each parties' respective interests, as well as the interests of judicial economy.
- 9. The earliest date the parties' respective counsel can schedule such a meeting is September 17, 2007, in the New York area.

1	10. Therefore, the parties believe that all interests involved (including the Court's)			
2	would be best served by continuing the hearing date for two weeks.			
3	STIPULATION			
4	1. IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, pursuant to Local Rule 6-2, that Plaintiffs'			
5	Motion for Preliminary Injunction and TD Ameritrade's Motion to Dismiss shall both be set for			
6	October 2, 2007 at 9:30 a.m. in Courtroom 11, 19th Floor, 450 Golden Gate Ave., San Francisco,			
7	CA 94102.			
8	2. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that this stipulation shall not be construed to			
9	reflect the position of any of the parties concerning the urgency or absence of any urgency of the			
10	relief sought in the Motion for Preliminary Injunction.			
11				
12	IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.			
13	Date: September 10, 2007 By: /s/ Alan Himmelfarb			
14	Alan Himmelfarb			
15	Counsel for Plaintiffs Matthew Elvey and Gadgetwiz, Inc.			
16				
17	Date: September 10, 2007  By: /s/ Lee H. Rubin  Lee H. Rubin			
18	Mayer Brown LLP			
19	Counsel for Defendant			
20	TD AMERITRADE, Inc.			
21	Filer's Attestation: Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section $X(B)$ , Shirish Gupta hereby attests that the signatories' concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained.			
22	ES DISTRIC			
23	TATU			
24	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.			
25	Date: 9/11/07 By: By:			
26	THE HONOR KIES UNITED STA  Judge Martin J. Jenkins  KIES			
27	Judge Mar			
28	-3-			
	STIPULATED [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUE MEARING DATE CASE NO.: C-07-02852-MJJ			