

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TERRI ESPINOZA,

No C 07-3115 VRW

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v

MICHAEL J ASTRUE, Commissioner of  
Social Security,

Defendant.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

On February 9, 2009, the last day on which plaintiff Terri Espinoza could file a notice of appeal in the above-captioned case pursuant to FRAP 4(a)(1)(B), plaintiff, through counsel, moved to extend time to file a notice of appeal. Doc #19. The court may grant plaintiff's motion if she demonstrates good cause pursuant to FRAP 4(a)(5)(A)(ii).

Plaintiff submits that good cause exists because she needs additional time to find a lawyer to represent her on appeal.

1 Doc #19. But plaintiff does not explain why her current counsel  
2 cannot or will not represent her on appeal. Accordingly, plaintiff  
3 or her current counsel are DIRECTED to explain IN WRITING why good  
4 cause exists in light of plaintiff's current representation by  
5 counsel.

6  
7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 

9  
10 \_\_\_\_\_  
11 VAUGHN R WALKER  
12 United States District Chief Judge  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28