1	DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669	
	City Attorney	
2	WAYNE SNODGRASS, State Bar #148137	
	JONATHAN GIVNER, State Bar #208000	
3	TARA M. STEELEY, State Bar #231775	
	Deputy City Attorney	
4	City Hall, Room 234	
	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place	
5	San Francisco, California 94102-4682	
	Telephone: (415) 554-4655	
6	Facsimile: (415) 554-4699	
	E-Mail: jon.givner@sfgov.org	
7		
	Attorneys for Defendants	
8		
9	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN	I LLP
	FREDERICK K. LOWELL #66641	
10	BRUCE A. ERICSON #76342	
	bruce.ericson@pillsburylaw.com	
11	ANITA D. STEARNS MAYO #142749	
	MARC H. AXELBAUM #209855	
12	marc.axelbaum@pillsburylaw.com	
	50 Fremont Street	
13	Post Office Box 7880	
	San Francisco, CA 94120-7880	
14	Telephone: (415) 983-1000	
	Facsimile: (415) 983-1200	
15		
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
16		
17		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
18		
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
19		
•		
20	COMMITTEE ON JOBS CANDIDATE	Case No. C07-3199 JSW
	ADVOCACY FUND, et al.,	
21		JOINT STATUS REPORT;
	Plaintiffs,	[ <del>PROPOSED]</del> ORDER
22		
	VS.	
23		
	DENNIS J. HERRERA, in his official	
24	capacity as City Attorney of the City and	
	County of San Francisco, et al.	
25		
2 -	Defendants.	
26		
<u> </u>		
27		
20		
28		

JOINT STATUS REPORT; [PROPOSED] ORDER CASE NO. C07-3199 JSW

## **JOINT STATUS REPORT**

Pursuant to the Joint Status Report and the Order filed November 30, 2009 (Dkt. 60),
Plaintiffs Committee on JOBS Candidate Advocacy Fund and Building Owners and Managers
Association of San Francisco Independent Expenditure PAC (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), and
Defendants Dennis J. Herrera, Kamala D. Harris, the Ethics Commission of the City and County of
San Francisco, and the City and County of San Francisco (collectively, "Defendants"), jointly file this
Status Report.

In this case, Plaintiffs contend that Sections 1.114(c)(1) and 1.114(c)(2) of the San Francisco Campaign Finance Reform Ordinance ("CFRO"), codified in the San Francisco Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code, and Regulation 1.114-2 of the Regulations to the CFRO, violate the First Amendment to the United States Constitution by imposing limits on contributions to political committees for the purpose of making independent expenditures. The Court granted Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction on September 20, 2007 (Dkt. 37), and that order has remained in effect since then pursuant to a series of stipulated orders. At the parties' request, the Court has stayed the litigation while the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals resolved a case presenting similar issues, *Long Beach Area Chamber of Commerce et al. v. City of Long Beach* ("Long Beach," USCA Dkt. 07-55691). In that case, the plaintiffs challenged a provision in the Long Beach Municipal Code that limits contributions to committees making independent expenditures supporting or opposing local candidates.

On April 30, 2010, the Ninth Circuit issued an opinion in *Long Beach*, -- F.3d --, 2010 WL 1729710. The court held that the Long Beach contribution limit was unconstitutional as applied to some of the plaintiffs, and held that the remaining plaintiff lacked standing to challenge the law. In light of the Ninth Circuit's decision, counsel for Plaintiffs and Defendants have agreed to consult their clients to discuss potential settlement of this matter. Counsel anticipate that they will have those discussions in the coming days. Counsel have agreed that a six-week extension of the current stay will allow them sufficient time to explore the option of settlement.

## Case3:07-cv-03199-JSW Document61 Filed05/12/10 Page3 of 5

1	For that reason, the parties agree that it would be most efficient and would best preserve the	
2	interests of judicial economy to continue the current stay. Subject to agreement by this Court, the	
3	parties agree that, by June 25, 2010, they will meet and confer to discuss how best to proceed with	
4	this case and submit a joint status report or request for a case management conference. The parties	
5	agree that no case management conference is necessary at this time.	
6		
7	Dated: May 12, 2010	
8	DENNIS J. HERRERA	
9	City Attorney WAYNE SNODGRASS JONATHAN GIVNER TARA M. STEELEY Deputy City Attorneys	
10		
11		
12	By:/s/ Jonathan Givner JONATHAN GIVNER Attorneys for Defendants	
13		
14	Tittorneys for Berendants	
15		
16	Dated: May 12, 2010  PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP FREDERICK K. LOWELL BRUCE A. ERICSON	
17		
18		
19	ANITA D. STERNS MAYO MARC H. AXELBAUM	
20		
21	*By:/s/ Bruce A. Ericson BRUCE A. ERICSON	
22	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
23	*Pursuant to GO 45, the electronic signatory has obtained approval from this signatory.	
24	Cotamos approvar from and dignatory.	
25		
26		
27		
28		

-[PROPOSED] ORDER Pursuant to the agreement of the parties set forth in the foregoing Joint Status Report, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction (Dkt. 37) and the Stipulation and Order Staying Litigation entered by the Court on October 26, 2007 (Dkt. 51) shall remain in effect. 2. By June 25, 2010, the parties shall meet and confer and submit a joint status report or request for a case management conference. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: <u>May 13, 2010</u> ted States District Judge