

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PATRICIA DESANTIS, et al.

Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY OF SANTA ROSA, et al.,

Defendants.

No. C 07-03386 JSW  
(consolidated with C 07-04474)

**ORDER RE PENDING MOTIONS  
FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

The Court has received Defendants’ objection to the opposition filed by plaintiff Adrienne DeSantis (“DeSantis”) and DeSantis’s motion for leave to an opposition to Defendants’ objection. The Court **HEREBY GRANTS** DeSantis’ motion for leave to file an opposition to Defendants’ objection. The Court **FURTHER ORDERS** that the Court will not strike DeSantis’ opposition to Defendants’ motion for summary judgment. Defendants may file a reply to DeSantis’ opposition by no later than October 10, 2008. The Court **HEREBY CONTINUES** the hearing on the pending cross-motions for summary judgment to October 31, 2008.


In the future, all parties are admonished: (1) to file all papers in this action in the lead case, No. C 07-03386; (2) all filings shall reflect in the caption that this is a consolidated matter; (3) all filings shall reflect the specific parties represented, as opposed to merely stating “Attorneys for Plaintiffs or Plaintiff;” (4) if a stipulation has not been signed by all parties in this consolidated matter, the stipulation shall specifically state so; and (5) if the Court uses the

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

word "Plaintiffs" without specifying the names of the individual plaintiffs, the word applies to  
*all* of the plaintiffs in this consolidated matter.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: October 2, 2008

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JEFFREY S. WHITE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE