

1 LAWRENCE D. MURRAY (SBN 77536)
 2 MURRAY & ASSOCIATES
 3 1781 Union Street
 4 San Francisco, CA 94123
 5 Tel: (415) 673-0555
 6 Fax: (415) 928-4084

7 ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 9 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10 MERCY AMBAT, ZAINABU ANDERSON, JOHN
 11 ARITA, DENNIS CARTER, SHARON CASTILLO,
 12 JOANNA CROTTY, TEQUISHA CURLEY, ALISA
 13 DAVIS-ZEHNER, MARLA DENZER, PATTI FLYNN,
 14 TERESA FOX, JON GRAY, TORI JACKSON, LISA
 15 JANSSEN, MICHAEL JONES, RICHARD LEE,
 16 SANDRA MACLIN-GIBSON, SUKHWANT MANN,
 17 GLORIA MARTIN, ARTURO MEDRANO, MARC
 18 NUTI, KEVIN O'SHEA, ANTHONY PEPPERS,
 19 VINCENT QUOCK, WENDY RODGERS-WELLS,
 20 LANA SLOCUM, ERNEST SMITH, TONYETTE
 21 SMITH-AL GHANI, MATTIE SPIRES-MORGAN,
 22 KENNETH TAN, ANJIE VERSHER, BONNIE
 23 WESTLIN, YVETTE WILLIAMS, ROLAND ZANIE,
 24 MICHAEL ZEHNER, PAMELA WALKER,
 25 GWENDOLYN HARVEY-NOTO, FELISHA
 26 THOMAS, JENNIFER KEETON, OLGA KINCADE,
 27 EMIKO THEODORIDIS, JEREMY DEJESUS, and
 28 MARTHA ORTEGA

Plaintiffs,

vs.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,

Defendant.

Case No. C-07-3622 SI
 (Consolidated with Case No. C-08-2406 SI
 and C-09-2652 SI)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER TO PERMIT
 FILING OF STATEMENT OF
 UNDISPUTED AND DISPUTED FACT
 WITH PLAINTIFFS' AND
 DEFENDANT'S MOTIONS FOR
 SUMMARY JUDGMENT
 (Local Rule 56-1(a) and (b))**

1 By prior order of the court, the motions for summary judgment are scheduled to be filed no later
2 than January 8, 2010, the oppositions are due by January 22, 2010, the replies are due January 29, 2010,
3 and hearing on the motions for summary judgment no later than February 12, 2010. Jury trial in this
4 case is currently scheduled for March 29, 2010. None of these dates need be changed.


5 There are no less than approximately fifty one (51) depositions compiled so far (14 by plaintiff,
6 37 by defendants), and likely about eight expert reports in this matter which will be in large part the
7 basis for cross motions for Summary Judgment. There are also tens of thousands of pages of documents
8 which comprise the discovery to date. In order to avoid unnecessarily lengthening the briefs in this
9 matter, the parties seek Court authority under Local Rule 56-1 (b) to file Statements of Undisputed Fact,
10 and later the Statements of Disputed Fact. The organization of the briefing and corresponding listings
11 of disputed and undisputed fact should shorten the Memorandum of Points and Authorities as well as
12 render the Points and Authorities concise.

13 The Northern District Local Rules, Rule 56-1 (a) indicates that no Separate Statement shall be
14 filed unless by order of the Court under Rule 56-1 (b).

15 Good cause exists to PERMIT the filing of disputed and undisputed facts in order to expedite
16 review and consideration of the extensive evidence in support of the claims and defenses in this case in a
17 concise manner.

18 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

19 Dated: _____



20 Hon. Susan Illston
21 United States District Judge
22
23
24
25
26
27
28