Case 3:07-cv-03622-SI Document 35 Filed 09/29/2008 Page 1 of 4 Lawrence D. Murray (SBN 77536) **MURRAY & ASSÓCIATES** 1781 Union Street 1 San Francisco, CA 94123 Tel:(415) 673-0555 Fax: (415) 928-4084 2 **Attorneys For Plaintiffs** 3 DENNIS J. HERRERA (SBN 139669) City Attorney 4 ELIZABETH S. SALVERSON (SBN 83788) 5 Chief Labor Attorney MARGARET BAUMGARDNER (SBN 151762) 6 JILL FIGG DAYAL (SBN 168281) 7 RAFAL OFIERSKI (SBN 194798) Deputy City Attorneys 8 Fax Plaza 1390 Market Street, Fifth Floor San Francisco, CA 94102 10 (415) 554-3800 Fax: (415) 554-4248 Attorneys For Defendants 11 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 14 15 MERCY AMBAT, et al, No. CV 07-03622 SI 16 Plaintiffs, 17 STIPULATION FOR ORDER AND ORDER VS. 18 PERMITTING CONSOLIDATION OF CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, **ACTIONS AND PERMITTING FILING OF** 19 PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT Defendants. FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF 20 21 Also consolidated into this action is: 22 No. 3 CV 08-2406 PAMELA WALKER, et al, 23 Plaintiffs, 24 VS. 25 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, 26 Defendants. 27 28

Ambat et al. v. CCSF et al.; United States District Court, Northern District of Calif. Case No. C 07-3622 SI Pag Stipulation For Order Consolidating Cases and Permitting Amended Complaint with All Claims

Ambat et al V. City & County of San Francisco et al

Dbc. 36

11

14

18

24

25

26

27

28

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties, through their respective counsel, that:

- Plaintiffs represent there are additional San Francisco Deputy Sheriffs who have filed (a) claims of discrimination and retaliation with the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and who wish to be a part of one action, hence will seek leave to add them at the appropriate time;
- In a Case Management Conference, the Court has indicated a desire to secure all (b) parties in one complaint so that it would assist in the efficient operation of the court and resolution of this matter;
- (c) Three actions have been filed for the claims of gender discrimination at the San Francisco County Jail, (a) U.S. District Court Action Number CV 07-03622 SI entitled Ambat v. City and County of San Francisco; U.S. District Court Action Number 3 CV 08-2406 entitled Walker v. City and County of San Francisco; and similar claims in the California Superior Court, San Francisco, in the case entitled San Francisco Deputy Sheriff's Association, et al, v. The City and County of San Francisco, Superior Court Number CPF-07-567047.
- (d) That on May 9, 2008, all remaining plaintiffs dismissed without prejudice all claims in the case in California Superior Court, San Francisco, entitled San Francisco Deputy Sheriff's Association, et al, v. The City and County of San Francisco, Superior Court Number CPF-07-567047, leaving only Ambat and Walker as the remaining actions before the courts.
- (e) The parties agree with the Court that consolidating the Ambat action and the Walker action would enhance the resolution of the issues at the core of this action to have all of such issues resolved in one suit:
- (f) Because current law provides only the employer may be liable for discrimination and retaliation, the First Amended and Consolidated Complaint does not name any individual defendants and contains no claims against individual defendants. Therefore, the pending motion in the Ambat case should be dismissed as moot and removed from the court's calendar.

1	(g) With both actions consolidated into one complaint, a copy of which is attached as					
2		Exhibit A, the	case is now set fo	r hearings on Summary Ju-	dgment/ Adjudication and	
3		further Case M	Ianagement Confe	erence.		
4	(h) The purpose of this stipulation is to permit the plaintiffs to file the First Amende					
5	Consolidated Complaint without resorting to a motion for leave to amend and					
6	motion to consolidate. By e			entering into this Stipulation, the City does not waive its		
7		rights to challe	nge the First Ame	ended and Consolidated Co	omplaint, or any portion	
		therefore, on a	ny lawful ground	at any proper time.		
8	(i) Based on th		e forgoing representations and stipulation, the parties respectfully reques			
9		that the Court i	issue an order con	solidating the <i>Ambat</i> and V	Walker actions, authorizing	
10		plaintiffs to file	e their First Amer	nded and Consolidated Cor	nplaint, and dismissing as	
11		moot the pendi	ng motion to disr	niss the individual defenda	nts in Ambat.	
12						
13	Date: September 29, 2008					
14	MURRAY & AS	SSOCIATES		SAN FRANCISCO CITY	ATTORNEY OFFICE	
15						
16	lal I ayyman aa D	Marmore		/s/ Rafal Ofierski		
17				Rafal Officiski Attorney for Defendants City and County of San Francisco, et al.		
18						
19				Tuneisco, et al.		
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
	Ambat et al. v. CCSF et al.; United States District Court, Northern District of Calif. Case No. C 07-3622 SI Page 3					

Stipulation For Order Consolidating Cases and Permitting Amended Complaint with All Claims

Case 3:07-cv-03622-SI Document 35 Filed 09/29/2008

Page 3 of 4

ORDER ON STIPULATION FOR ORDER

HAVING READ AND CONSIDERED THE FOREGOING, and good cause appearing:

The foregoing is the order of the court.

Date:

Susan Illston

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE