

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

and rule on her claims. See O’Sullivan v. Boerckel, 526 U.S. 838, 845 (1999) (state’s highest court must be given opportunity to rule on claims even if review is discretionary); Larche v. Simons, 53 F.3d 1068, 1071-72 (9th Cir. 1995) (California prisoner challenging misdemeanor conviction must present her claims to state supreme court either by habeas or direct appeal in order to exhaust). The petitions for a writ of habeas corpus therefore are DISMISSED without prejudice to refile after state judicial remedies are exhausted.

The clerk shall terminate all pending motions as moot and close the files.

SO ORDERED.

DATED: August 01, 2007



CHARLES R. BREYER
United States District Judge