+

UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DIST	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
JOHN OLAGUES,	No. C 07-3918 JSW (PR)
Petitioner,	SECOND ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH LEAVE TO AMEND AND
V.	INSTRUCTIONS TO THE CLERK
CHE TROQUILLE, Probation Officer, Jefferson Parish, Louisiana,	
Respondent.	

Petitioner, a probationer in Louisiana after a conviction in Marin County, California, filed a habeas corpus petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 challenging the constitutional validity of his state conviction in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana. The petition was transferred to this Court from the Eastern District of Louisiana. This Court previously granted leave to amend to name the proper Respondent, after Respondent named the Attorney General of Louisiana. Petitioner subsequently filed an amended petition, naming Che Troquille, Probation Officer of Jefferson Parish District, Louisiana, as the Respondent in this action. Respondent Troquille is now substituted as Respondent and the Clerk of Court is directed to TERMINATE the Louisiana Attorney General, Charles C. Foti, Jr., as a Respondent in this action.

Petitioner's amended petition is now before the court for review pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2243 and Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. Petitioner's amended petition is not on the Court's habeas corpus form. While Petitioner has now

properly alleged claims that his federal constitutional rights were violated in his state court proceedings in California, he also states in the amended petition that he "incorporates by reference the earlier pleadings and exhibits that were made in the original Petition for Habeas Corpus." However, an amended complaint filed as a matter of course or after leave of court supersedes the original complaint. "[A] plaintiff waives all causes of action alleged in the original complaint which are not alleged in the amended complaint." London v. Coopers & Lybrand, 644 F.2d 811, 814 (9th Cir. 1981). Petitioner must include in any amended complaint all pleadings and exhibits he wishes the Court to consider in support of his claims, as the amended petition completely replaces the original petition. Given that Petitioner apparently wishes to include certain materials not made a part of the amended petition, it must be dismissed. However, Petitioner will be given leave to amend to cure this defect.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons and for good cause shown, this action is dismissed with leave to file an amended petition within *thirty days* of the date of this order in which Petitioner presents only claims for violations of his rights under the laws, treaties, or Constitution of the United States. Each and every such claim must have been presented to the California Supreme Court before it may be asserted in a federal habeas action. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b),(c); *Granberry v. Greer*, 481 U.S. 129, 133-34 (1987). The amended petition must contain the caption and civil case number used in this order and the words AMENDED PETITION on the first page. Failure to file an amended petition by the deadline will result in dismissal of all claims in the petition, except claim three, which alleges the violation of a federal right. Petitioner may wish to use the Court's form habeas petition and must include a copy of all pleadings or exhibits he wishes the Court to consider in support of his claims.

It is Petitioner's responsibility to prosecute this case. He must keep the Court
informed of any change of address by filing a separate paper with the clerk headed
"Notice of Change of Address." He must comply with any orders of the Court within the

1	time allowed, or ask for an extension of that time. Failure to do so may result in the
2	dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil
3	Procedure 41(b). See Martinez v. Johnson, 104 F.3d 769, 772 (5th Cir. 1997) (Rule 41(b)
4	applicable in habeas cases). The Court Clerk shall provide Petitioner with a copy of the
5	Court's form habeas corpus petition.
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.
7	DATED: May 1, 2009
8	JEFFREY S. WHITE
9	United States District Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
25 26	
26 27	
27 28	
20	

Ι

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	FOR THE	
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
4		
5	JOHN OLAGUES,	
6	Case Nulliber: CV07-05918 JSW	
7	Plaintiff, CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
8		
9	CHARLES FOTI et al,	
10	/ Defendant.	
11	I the end to size a large service that I are an enclosed in the Office of the Clark II C. District	
12	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. Dis Court, Northern District of California.	
13	That on May 4, 2009, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing	
14		
15		
16		
17	John Olagues 413 Sauve Road	
18	River Ridge, LA 70123	
19	Dated. May 4, 2009	
20	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: Jennifer Ottolini, Deputy Clerk	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

I