7 8 9 10 11 12 For the Northern District of Californi 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Civil L.R. 7-9(a).

1

2

3

4

5

6

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

No. 07-04224 JSW

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S

ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION

FOR LEAVE FOR A HEARING

ALTA BATES SUMMIT MEDICAL CENTER,

Plaintiff,

v.

UNITED OF OMAHA LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

Now before the Court is Plaintiff's administrative motion for leave for a hearing on the motion to dismiss that the Court already ruled on. Although the motion is entitled "Ex Parte Application for a Hearing on Order Granting Defendants' Motion to Dismiss," in essence, Plaintiff seek to have the Court reconsider its order granting Defendants' motion. Plaintiff should have filed a motion for leave to file a motion for reconsideration in accordance with

A motion for reconsideration may be made on one of three grounds: (1) a material difference in fact or law exists from that which was presented to the Court, which, in the exercise of reasonable diligence, the party applying for reconsideration did not know at the time of the order; (2) the emergence of new material facts or a change of law; or (3) a manifest failure by the Court to consider material facts or dispositive legal arguments presented before

entry of the order. Civ. L.R. 7-9(b)(1)-(3). In addition, the moving party may not reargue any written or oral argument previously asserted to the Court. Civ. L.R. 7-9(c). Plaintiff has not made a showing under any of these three grounds that a motion for reconsideration is warranted. Therefore, to the extent Plaintiff inartfully seeks leave to file a motion for reconsideration, such request is denied. To the extent Plaintiff seeks to have a hearing on a motion that has already been decided, that request is denied as well.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 7, 2008

EĎ STATES DISTRICT JUDGE