Document 115

Filed 04/13/2009

Page 1 of 3

Alemozaffar v. City and County of San Francisco et al

Case 3:07-cv-04494-JSW

Doc. 116

WHEREAS subsequent to defendants' filing of the motion, the parties have met and conferred in an effort to come to an agreement regarding the language and scope of the proposed gag order;

AND WHEREAS the parties have endeavored to produce a proposed order that is consistent with existing precedent, including *Levine v. District Court*, 764 F.2d 590 (9th Cir. 1985).

THE PARTIES HEREBY AGREE AND STIPULATE, subject to the Court's approval, to the following order:

The parties and their attorneys in this matter shall not communicate with the media or make any extra-judicial public statements regarding this case or Defendant Officer Jesse Serna, relating to one or more of the following subjects:

- (1) The character, credibility, or reputation of a party;
- (2) The identity of a witness or the expected testimony of a party or a witness;
- (3) The contents of any pretrial confession, admission, or statement given by a party or witness or that person's refusal or failure to make a statement;
- (4) The identity or nature of physical evidence expected to be presented or the absence of such physical evidence;
  - (5) The strengths or weaknesses of the case of either party;
- (6) Allegations of misconduct or citizen complaints against any defendant, including OCC complaints and lawsuits;
- (7) Any employer-imposed discipline, sanction or remedial measure that has been or could be taken against any defendant;
  - (8) The parties' past, present or future settlement negotiations or positions in this case;
- (9) Any City or County policy, custom or practice that is or may become at issue in this case; and

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1	(10) Any other information the lawyer or party knows or reasonably should know is likely to
2	be inadmissible as evidence or would create a substantial likelihood of material prejudice if
3	disclosed.
4	This order shall remain in force during the pendency of this action or until further order of
5	this Court. No person covered by this order shall avoid its effects by indirectly but deliberately
6	taking actions which bring about a violation of the order.
7	The hearing on defendants' gag order motion, scheduled for April 16, 2009, is off calendar.
8	Dated: April 13, 2009
9	DENNIS J. HERRERA
10	City Attorney
11	JOANNE HOEPER Chief Trial Deputy
	SCOTT D. WIENER
12	DANIEL A. ZAHEER
13	Deputy City Attorney
14	- /s/ - Daniel A. Zaheer
15	By:
16	DANIEL A. ZAHEER Attorneys for Defendants
	·
17	Dated: April 13, 2009
18	LAW OFFICES OF SANFORD M. CIPINKO
19	- /s/ - Jeremy Cloyd
20	By:
21	JEREMY CLOYD Attorneys for Plaintiff MEHRDAD ALEMOZAFFAR
22	*Pursuant to GO 45, the electronic signatory has
23	obtained approval from this signatory.
24	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
25	
26	
27	Dated: April 14, 2009
28	HOY, JEHFREY S. WHITE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE