Jordan v. Paul F	nancial, LLC				Doc. 120
	Case 3:07-cv-04496-SI	Document 119	Filed 12/22/2008	Page 1 of 4	
1	MATTHEW G. BALL (SBN No. 208881) matthew.ball@klgates.com				
2	RACHEL CHATMAN (SBN No. 206775)				
3	rachel.chatman@klgates.com K&L GATES LLP				
	4 Embarcadero Center, Suite 1200				
4	San Francisco, California 94105-3493 Telephone: (415) 882-8200				
5	Facsimile: (415) 882-8200				
6	IRENE C. FREIDEL (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)				
7	<u>irene.freidel@klgates.com</u>				
8	DAVID D. CHRISTENSEN (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) david.christensen@klgates.com				
	K&L GATES LLP				
9	One Lincoln Street				
10	Boston, MA 02111 Telephone: (617) 261-3100				
11	Facsimile: (617) 261-3175				
12	Attorneys for Defendants				
13	PAUL FINANCIAL LLC, and HSBC BANK USA, NATIONAL				
	ASSOCIATION				
14					
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
17	GREGORY M. JORDAN, ind	lividually and on	Case No. 3:07-cv-0	4496-SI	
18	behalf of all others similarly s			. 1,50 . 51	
	Plaintif	f,	STIPULATION A	ND [PROPOSED]	
19	VS.		ORDER RE: ENL LIMITATIONS	ARGEMENT OF PA	INT OF PAGE
20		D (D) IEN IE	Livilizations		
21	PAUL FINANCIAL, LLS, LU MORTGAGE CAPITOL, INC	C., LUMINENT	Assigned to Honora	ble Susan Illston	
22	MORTGAGE TRUST 2006-2 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION	, HSBC			
23	through 10 inclusive,	, 2 0 20 1			
24	Defenda	ants.			
25					
26					
27					
28					
_0	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	ORDER	1 Case	No. CV07-04496 SI	
		, 			

Dockets.Justia.com

WHEREAS, on October 31, 2008, plaintiff filed a Motion for Class Certification and an Application for Preliminary Injunction to Prohibit Recasting and Foreclosure on ARM Loans ("plaintiff's motions");

WHEREAS, defendants Paul Financial LLC's and HSBC Bank USA, N.A.'s (1) Response to Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification, and (2) Response to Plaintiff's Application for Preliminary Injunction to Prohibit Recasting and Foreclosure on ARM Loans, are currently due December 30, 2008, and a hearing is currently scheduled on plaintiff's motions for January 20, 2009;

WHEREAS, in addition to filing their (1) Response to Plaintiff's Motion for Class

Certification, and (2) Response to Plaintiff's Application for Preliminary Injunction to Prohibit

Recasting and Foreclosure on ARM Loans, defendants Paul Financial LLC and HSBC Bank USA,

N.A. ("defendants") intend to file a separate Motion for Summary Judgment on plaintiff's individual claims on December 30, 2008 as well;

WHEREAS, for the sake of efficiency, defendants Paul Financial LLC and HSBC Bank USA, N.A. wish to file joint memoranda for each of the three distinct motions their counsel is responding to and/or filing. As a result, defendants seek an enlargement of the page limit, from 25 pages to 40 pages, to enable the filing of the following joint memoranda, which will be filed separately with the Court: (1) defendants' Response to Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification, (2) defendants' Response to Plaintiff's Application for Preliminary Injunction to Prohibit Recasting and Foreclosure on ARM Loans, and (3) defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment.

WHEREAS, the parties have agreed that the 25-page limitation set forth in Local Rule 7-4(b) for defendants' (1) Response to Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification, (2) Response to Plaintiff's Application for Preliminary Injunction to Prohibit Recasting and Foreclosure on ARM Loans; and (3) Motion for Summary Judgment, should be enlarged up to 40 pages each;

WHEREAS, the parties have agreed that the 15-page limitation set forth in Local Rule 7-4(b) for plaintiff's replies to defendants' (1) Response to Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification, and (2) Response to Plaintiff's Application for Preliminary Injunction to Prohibit Recasting and Foreclosure on ARM Loans, and defendants' reply in support of its motion for summary judgment, should be enlarged up to 25 pages each;

WHEREAS, the parties have agreed that the 25-page limitation set forth in Local Rule 7-4(b) for plaintiff's Response to Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment should be enlarged up to 40 pages; and

WHEREFORE, the parties hereby stipulate and agree that the 25-page limitation set forth in Local Rule 7-4(b) for their responsive briefs should be enlarged up to 40 pages each (exclusive of table of contents, table of authorities, and supporting declarations and exhibits), and the 15-page limitation for the parties' reply briefs should be enlarged to 25 pages.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

4

Case No. CV07-04496 SI