

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GREGORY M. JORDAN,
Plaintiff,

No. C 07-04496 SI

ORDER RE: ORAL ARGUMENT

v.

PAUL FINANCIAL, LLC, et al.,
Defendants.


The Court directs the parties to be prepared to address the following questions at oral argument on May 15, 2009:

1. Of the TILA violations alleged by plaintiff, which are “material” for purposes of triggering the three-year statute of limitations for rescission? *See* 12 C.F.R. § 226.23(a)(3) & n.48 and 12 C.F.R. Pt. 226, Supp. I ¶ 23(a)(3)(2).

2. May a UCL claim be predicated on a TILA violation if the TILA violation is barred by the statute of limitations? *See Silvas v. E*Trade Mortg. Corp.*, 514 F.3d 1001, 1007 n.3 (9th Cir. 2008); *Cross v. Downey Savings and Loan Ass’n*, 2009 WL 481482 *4 (C.D. Cal. 2009).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 14, 2009



SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge