Gonzales -v- Novosel Doc. 81

1	Kimberly E. Colwell, Esq. (SBN: 127604)	
2	kcolwell@meyersnave.com Tricia L. Hynes, Esq. (SBN: 212550)	
3	thynes@meyersnave.com MEYERS, NAVE, RIBACK, SILVER & WILSON	
4	555 12th Street, Suite 1500 Oakland, CA 94607	
5	Telephone: (510) 808-2000 Facsimile: (510) 444-1108	
6	Attorneys for Defendants Officer Ron Carlino, Officer	
7	Sean Curmi, Officer Anthony Bordigon and Officer Jason Fukushima	
8		
9	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of the State of California	
10	PAUL T. HAMMERNESS Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
11	JOHN P. DEVINE, State Bar No. 170773 TOM BLAKE, State Bar No. 51885	
12	Deputy Attorneys General 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000	
13	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5522 Fax: (415) 703-5480	
14	Email: John.Devine@doj.ca.gov	
15	Attorneys for Defendant California Highway Patrol Officer M. Novosel	
16	Officer W. Novoser	
17	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTR	RICT COURT
18	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT C	
19	SAN FRANCISCO DIVIS	SION
20		
21	NELSON GONZALES,	Case No. C-07-04720 CRB
22	Plaintiff,	ADMINISTRATIVE REQUEST FOR FURTHER
23	V.	CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE ON
24	MICHAEL NOVOSEL, et al.,	OCTOBER 10, 2008 AND TO COMPEL DISCOVERY
25	Defendants.	ORDER
26	Come now defendants California Highway Patrol Offic	er M. Novosel and South San Francisco
27	Police Officers R. Carlino, S. Curmi, A. Bordigon, J. Fukushima (hereinafter "Defendants") who	
28	submit the following Administrative Request and Proposed	l Order:
	Admin. Request for Further CMC and to Compel Discovery	Gonzales v. Novosel, et al. C-07-04720 CRB
ļ	1	5 1 4 1 2

The defendants request that the Court schedule a further Case Management Conference in this matter in order to seek the Court's guidance and direction to permit defendants to complete the Independent Medical Examinations of plaintiff and have meaningful depositions of plaintiff's expert(s) before trial. Trial in this case is set for October 20, 2008.

The defendants, with the apparent cooperation of plaintiff, have been working diligently to complete a psychiatric IME of the plaintiff on dates that accommodate all parties, counsel, and the doctors. However, plaintiff's counsel have rescheduled the psychological examination multiple times, representing that plaintiff was unexpectedly unable to attend or to complete the sessions due to a variety of health problems, including nausea, dental pain, and bed sores. The examinations were canceled within minutes before they were set to begin.

The parties had agreed to exchange expert reports on October 3, 2008, and have most recently agreed to postpone simultaneous exchange of the remaining reports to October 10, 2008. But as of today's date, plaintiff has not completed even the psychiatric testing with defendants' psychologist, Ronald Roberts, Ph.D., a necessary preliminary to his examination by the defense psychiatric expert, Emily Keram, M.D. Plaintiff has canceled *three* appointments with Dr. Roberts at the last minute and has therefore not been examined by the State's expert, Dr. Keram (all of the appointments with Dr. Keram were canceled). The psychiatric issues are particularly significant to this trial because the plaintiff contends that he has amnesia as to certain, but not all, of the events at issue. The defense experts also should have an opportunity to examine the plaintiff and consider his deposition testimony in relation to issues of "suicide by cop," the effects of intoxicants, and substance abuse. In addition, the psychiatric and psychological issues are salient to the plaintiff's damages contentions as he alleges substantial expense for mental health treatment for post-traumatic stress disorder, clinical depression, and flash-backs.

Plaintiff's deposition was completed only two days ago (on October 1) following multiple cancellations and the Court's denial of his application for an order that he be deposed on "four-hour stand-by" at his home. The defense experts have not received transcripts of this week's deposition sessions, which have been ordered on an expedited basis. The defense experts should have an opportunity to review the complete deposition before finalizing their reports. The defendants have

1	been deprived of the opportunity to complete their expert witness preparation and reports as of this	
2	date. Further, defendants to date have received only two of the plaintiff's experts' reports, both	
3	dealing with damages, and will not have the opportunity to assess the plaintiff's contentions or	
4	depose any of his experts until less than ten business days before trial is to begin. 10:00	
5	Based on these facts, the defendants respectfully request that on October 10, 2008, at 10:30	
6	a.m., following the Summary Judgment hearing in this case, the Court conduct a further Case	
7	Management Conference to provide guidance on the conduct of expert discovery and such related	
8	matters as the Court may find appropriate.	
9	Dated: October 3, 2008.	
10	Respectfully submitted,	
11	/s/ Tricia L. Hynes Tricia L. Hynes, Esq.	
12		
13	Attorney for Defendants Officer Ron Carlino, Officer Sean Curmi, Officer Anthony Bordigon and Officer Jason Fukushima	
14		
15	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of the State of California	
16		
17	/s/ John P. Devine JOHN P. DEVINE	
18	Deputy Attorney General	
19	Attorneys for Defendant California Highway Patrol Officer Michael Novosel	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

[Proposed] ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated: october 06 , 2008

