1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
11		
12	Darren Cornelius STANLEY,	Case Number 3-7-cv-4727-EMC
13	Petitioner,	DEATH-PENALTY CASE
14	v.	ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART
15	Michael MARTEL, Acting Warden of San Quentin State Prison,	PETITIONER'S SECOND MOTION FOR DISCOVERY
16	Respondent.	(Docket No. 41)
17		
18	Proceedings in the present capital habeas action are stayed pending a determination of	
19	Petitioner's competency. (Doc. No. 39 at 2.) However, the Court has carved out an exception to	
20	this stay for discovery granted for preservation purposes. ( <i>Id.</i> at 3.)	
21	In particular, Petitioner is to depose his brother, Isaac Stanley, who was a key	
22	prosecution witness and is terminally ill. (Doc. No. 33 at 3.) In connection with this deposition,	
23	Petitioner wishes to discover possible <i>Brady</i> material regarding a felony prosecution against	
24	Isaac Stanley that was dismissed by motion of the prosecutor, purportedly in exchange for Isaac	
25	Stanley's testimony against Petitioner. This material allegedly was suppressed and only recently	
26	was found at the Wiley Manuel Branch Courthouse of the Alameda Superior Court, where it is	
27	filed under seal. (Doc. No. 41 at 2–3.)	
28	Petitioner contends that the Alameda Superior Court "lacks jurisdiction" to disclose the	
	Case No. 3-7-cv-4727-EMC	

restricted material, citing Gonzalez v. Wong, No. 08-99025, 2011 WL 6061514, at \*5 (9th Cir. 1 2 Dec. 7, 2011), and a request to that court for the material would therefore be futile. (Doc. No. 41 3 at 3.) However, in the present matter, unlike *Gonzalez*, Petitioner seeks a document in the court's own file, over which the court has direct control, from a case that never was appealed to a 4 5 higher court. *Gonzalez* is therefore inapplicable. Petitioner should apply directly to the Alameda 6 Superior Court for access to the restricted material; if such application is denied, Petitioner may 7 return to this Court to renew his discovery request. In the meantime, in light of the urgency created by Isaac Stanley's abbreviated life expectancy, and as suggested by Respondent, (Doc. 8 9 No. 42 at 3 n.2), the restricted material shall be lodged with this Court so that the Court may 10 promptly review it in camera should such a review become appropriate. 11 Petitioner also seeks discovery of documents in the possession of the Alameda County

Auditor's Office. This request is unopposed, and there is good cause to grant it. (Doc. No. 41 at 4.)

14

\*

Good cause appearing therefor, the Court GRANTS IN PART AND DENIES IN
PART Petitioner's second motion for discovery, (Doc. No. 41).

17 (1) The Court denies without prejudice Petitioner's request to issue a subpoena duces tecum
18 to the Wiley Manuel Branch Courthouse, Alameda County, for records, documents, or
19 writings of any kind marked "RESTRICTED" or "confidential" (by whomever so
20 designated) in *People v. Isaac Stanley*, Case No. 337418, a case of aggravated battery
21 with infliction of serious bodily injury under Penal Code § 243(d) dismissed upon oral
22 motion of the prosecutor on July 17, 1990.

(2) A copy of all records, documents, or writings of any kind at the Wiley Manuel Branch
Courthouse, Alameda County, that are marked "RESTRICTED" or "confidential" (by
whomever so designated) in *People v. Isaac Stanley*, Case No. 337418, a case of
aggravated battery with infliction of serious bodily injury under Penal Code § 243(d)
dismissed upon oral motion of the prosecutor on July 17, 1990, shall be lodged under seal
with the Court's Death Penalty Staff Attorney, George O. Kolombatovich, for possible

Case No. 3-7-cv-4727-EMC ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART PETITIONER'S SECOND MOTION FOR DISCOVERY (DPSAGOK)

1	review in camera.	
2	(3) The Court grants Petitioner's request to issue a subpoena duces tecum to the Alameda	
3	County Auditor's Office for all records, documents, letters, affidavits, bill statements,	
4	accountings, cancelled checks, ledgers, or writings of any kind concerning funds sought,	
5	allocated, utilized, spent, or refused by counsel for Darren Stanley in the case of People	
6	v. Stanley, No. 103289 or No. 314662, including Walter Cannady, Richard Hove, Richard	
7	Humphrey, and Lincoln Mintz, including but not limited to all funds requested and	
8	disbursed or refused pursuant to Penal Code § 989.9, and interim or final accountings	
9	pursuant to § 987.2.	
10	This order disposes of Docket No. 41.	
11		
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
13	$\mathcal{O}$	
14	DATED: January 17, 2012 EDWARD M. CHEN	
15	United States District Judge	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	3	
	Case No. 3-7-cv-4727-EMC ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART PETITIONER'S SECOND MOTION FOR DISCOVERY (DPSAGOK)	