1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California		
2	GLENN R. PRUDEN		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHELE J. SWANSON		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 191193		
	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000		
5	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5703		
6	Fax: (415) 703-1234 E-mail: Michele.Swanson@doj.ca.gov		
7	Attorneys for Respondent		
8	ROGER I. TEICH		
9	State Bar No. 147076 290 Nevada Street		
10	San Francisco, CA 94110 Telephone: (415) 948-0045		
11	E-mail: rteich@juno.com		
	DAVID A. NICKERSON		
12	State Bar No. 111885 32 Bridgegate Drive		
13	San Rafael, CA 94903 Telephone: (415) 507-9097		
14	E-mail: nickersonlaw@comcast.net		
15	Attorneys for Petitioner		
16	IN THE UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT	
17			
18	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
19	SAN FRANCIS	SCO DIVISION	
20			
21	DARREN CORNELIUS STANLEY,	C 07-4727 EMC	
22	Petitioner,	DEATH PENALTY CASE	
23	v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
24		ORDER RE RESPONDENT'S DISCOVERY IN CONNECTION WITH	
25	KEVIN CHAPPELL, Acting Warden, California State Prison at San Quentin,	COMPETENCY DETERMINATION	
26	Respondent.		
27]	
28			
		1	

Stip. and [Proposed] Order re Resp't's Discovery in Connection with Competency Determination (C 07-4727 EMC)

1	On April 23, 2012, this Court granted petitioner's motion to determine competency. On	
2	May 8 and 15, 2012, the parties filed a Joint Case Management Statement and replies addressing	
3	the structure and schedule for the Court's determination of petitioner's present competency to	
4	assist counsel and participate in these capital habeas corpus proceedings. The Court has not yet	
5	issued an order setting forth the structure and schedule for the competency determination.	
6	Subject to approval by this Court, the parties hereby agree and stipulate to the following:	
7	1) Pursuant to Rule 6 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, there is good cause for	
8	respondent's request for production of the following documents from petitioner under Rule 34 of	
9	the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, to the extent petitioner's counsel have such documents in	
10	their possession as of the date of the entry of the Order on this Stipulation:	
11	a) petitioner's birth and pediatric medical and mental health records;	
12	b) petitioner's school records (elementary, middle, and high schools);	
13	c) petitioner's juvenile hall and California Youth Authority (CYA) records	
14	(including disciplinary, medical, mental health, education, and work);	
15	d) petitioner's jail records (medical/mental health only) from the period when he was	
16	incarcerated during the pretrial, trial, and sentencing stages of this case;	
17	e) the "medical document" of petitioner's maternal grandfather, Isaac Hayes, who	
18	was diagnosed with psychosis due to epilepsy, and which was relied upon by Dr.	
19	Benson in his state habeas declaration (see paragraph 3(e) of declaration); and,	
20	f) all mental health records of petitioner's family members in petitioner's possession,	
21	whether or not provided to Dr. Gregory or Dr. Hines.	
22	2) Pursuant to Rule 6 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, there is good cause for	
23	respondent to issue third-party subpoenas for the following documents under Rule 45 of the	
24	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure:	
25	a) petitioner's juvenile hall and California Youth Authority (CYA) records	
26	(including disciplinary, medical, mental health, education, and work); and,	
27	b) petitioner's jail records (medical/mental health only) from the period when he was	
28	incarcerated during the pretrial, trial, and sentencing stages of this case. 2	

Stip. and [Proposed] Order re Resp't's Discovery in Connection with Competency Determination (C 07-4727 EMC)


 The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will apply to respondent's request for production of documents and issuance of subpoenas.

1

2

- 3 4) Petitioner will produce the above requested documents and/or issue objections within 30
 4 days of the Court's order on this stipulation;
- 5 5) Respondent's third-party subpoenas will issue within 7 days of the Court's order on this 6 stipulation and will command production of responsive documents within 30 days of issuance of 7 the subpoena. Within 7 days of respondent's receipt of responsive documents, respondent will 8 provide copies of the documents to petitioner at petitioner's expense. Petitioner will rely upon the 9 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for relief if any third party fails to comply in a timely manner.
- 10 6) Documents produced in response to respondent's discovery request and subpoenas will
 11 be covered by any protective order issued by the Court in connection with the competency
 12 determination.
- 7) Petitioner reserves the right to object to the admission of any of the documents obtained
 pursuant to this Stipulation on the grounds that such documents are irrelevant or inconsequential
 to the determination of Petitioner's present competency to meaningfully assist counsel or
 participate in these habeas corpus proceedings. Petitioner reserves the right the object on these
 same grounds to any expert opinions based upon any documents obtained pursuant to this
 Stipulation, or upon the non-existence or unavailability of responsive documents.
 IT IS SO STIPULATED.
- 20 Dated: July 27, 2012 By: /s/ Michele J. Swanson 21 GLENN R. PRUDEN Supervising Deputy Attorney General 22 MICHELE J. SWANSON Deputy Attorney General 23 Attorneys for Respondent 24 Dated: July 27, 2012 By: /s/ Roger I. Teich **ROGER I. TEICH** 25 DAVID A. NICKERSON Attorneys for Petitioner 26 27 /// /// 28 3

Stip. and [Proposed] Order re Resp't's Discovery in Connection with Competency Determination (C 07-4727 EMC)



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Case Name: Stanley v. Chappell, Acting No. C 07-4727 EMC Warden

I hereby certify that on <u>July 30, 2012</u>, I electronically filed the following documents with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system:

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE RESPONDENT'S DISCOVERY IN CONNECTION WITH COMPETENCY DETERMINATION

I certify that **all** participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the CM/ECF system.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on <u>July 30, 2012</u>, at San Francisco, California.

D. Desuyo Declarant /s/ D. Desuyo Signature

20628426.doc