1	CHARLES F. BOURDON, State Bar #84782 Law Offices of Charles F. Bourdon
2	179 - 11 th Street, 2 nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94103
3	415-864-5100 415-865-0376 (fax)
4	
5	BARON J. DREXEL, State Bar #132529 Law Offices of Baron J. Drexel
6	212 Ninth Street, Suite 401 Oakland, CA 94607
7	510-444-3184 (phone) 510-444-3181 (fax)
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff
9	MARK A. JONES, State Bar #96494 KRISTEN K. PRESTON, State Bar #125455
10	JONES & DYER A Professional Corporation
11	1800 J Street Sacramento, California 95811
12	Telephone: (916) 552-5959
13	Fax: (916) 442-5959
14	Attorneys for: Defendants County of Lake, County of Lake Sheriff's Department, Sheriff Rodney Mitchell and Deputy Richard Ward
15	TERENCE J. CASSIDY, State Bar #99180 JOHN R. WHITEFLEET, State Bar #213301
16	PORTER SCOTT 350 University Avenue, Suite 200
17	Sacramento, California 95825 Telephone: (916) 929-1481
18	Fax: (916) 927-3706
19	Attorneys for: Defendant Deputy Paul DeShong
20	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
21	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
22	
23	BRENT BECKWAY,) NO. C 07 5072 TEH Plaintiff,)
24	vs.) STIPULATION AND ORDER
25	DEPUTY PAUL DESHONG, DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, SHERIFF RODNEY K. MITCHELL,
26	COUNTY OF LAKE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT) and COUNTY OF LAKE,)
27	Defendants.
28	

STIPULATION AND ORDER RE DISMISSAL OF CLAIMS CASE NO. C-07-5072 TEH

1	On October 2, 2007, the plaintiff filed a complaint and demand for trial by jury in this case,
2	identifying as defendants Sheriff Rodney K. Mitchell, County of Lake Sheriff's Department, and
3	County of Lake. (Docket #1) Pursuant to prior order of this court, plaintiff's claims against the Lake
4	County Sheriff's Department were dismissed on the basis that the Sheriff's Department is not a
5	proper defendant to a section 1983 claim. (Docket #11, Section IV, at page 9 and following.)
6	Plaintiff has agreed to dismiss his claims against defendant Sheriff Rodney K. Mitchell and
7	County of Lake in exchange for the agreement of defendants Sheriff Rodney K. Mitchell, County of
8	Lake Sheriff's Department and County of Lake to waive their rights to recovery of litigation costs.
9	IT IS THEREFORE STIPULATED by and between all parties to this stipulation, by and
10	through their respective attorneys of record, that the plaintiff's claims against Sheriff Rodney K.
11	Mitchell and County of Lake be dismissed with prejudice.
12	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED by and between all parties to this stipulation, through their
13	respective attorneys of record, that defendants Sheriff Rodney K. Mitchell, County of Lake Sheriff's
14	Department and County of Lake waive their rights to recover litigation costs against the plaintiff
15	herein.
16	Dated: November 7, 2011 LAW OFFICES OF CHARLES F. BOURDON
17	LAW OFFICES OF CHARLEST, BOOKDON
18	
19	By: /s/ Charles F. Bourdon CHARLES F. BOURDON
20	BARON J. DREXEL Attorneys for Plaintiff
21	Dated: November 7, 2011
22	JONES & DYER
23	
By: /s/ Mark A. Jones KRISTEN PRESTON	By: /s/ Mark A. Jones KRISTEN PRESTON
25	Attorneys for Defendants County of County of Lake, County of Lake Sheriff's Department and Sheriff
26	Rodney Mitchell, Deputy Richard Ward
27	
28	

STIPULATION AND ORDER RE DISMISSAL OF CLAIMS CASE NO. C-07-5072 TEH

1	Dated: November 7, 2011 PORTER SCOTT
2	TORTERSCOTT
3	By: /s/ John R. Whitefleet JOHN R. WHITEFLEET
4	Attorneys for Defendant Paul DeShong
5	
6	
7	<u>ORDER</u>
8	The Court, having considered the foregoing stipulation of the parties, and good cause
9	appearing therefor, the Court hereby orders that plaintiff's claims against Sheriff Rodney K. Mitchel
10	and County of Lake be, and are hereby dismissed, with prejudice. The court further orders that, by
11	stipulation, the defendants County of Lake, County of Lake Sheriff's Department, and Sheriff
12	Rodney K. Mitchell are precluded from recovery of costs of litigation on the basis of this Court's
13	dismissal of plaintiff's claims against defendant Sheriff Rodney K. Mitchell, County of Lake
14	Sheriff's Department and County of Lake.
15	11/09/2011
16	Dated:
17	5 July florence of
18	Judge Thelton E. Henderson
19	The state of the s
20	PER DISTRICT OF CENT
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

STIPULATION AND ORDER RE DISMISSAL OF CLAIMS CASE NO. C-07-5072 TEH