

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CALIFORNIA STATE FOSTER PARENT
ASSOCIATION, CALIFORNIA STATE CARE
PROVIDERS ASSOCIATION, and LEGAL
ADVOCATES FOR PERMANENT
PARENTING,

No. C 07-05086 WHA

Plaintiffs,

v.

WILLIAM LIGHTBOURNE, Director of the
California Department of Social Services, in his
official capacity; GREGORY ROSE, Deputy
Director of the Children and Family Services
Division of the California Department of Social
Services, in his official capacity,

**ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS'
MOTION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE
RELIEF AND CLARIFICATION
OF THE ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFFS' SECOND MOTION
FOR FURTHER RELIEF**

Defendants.


Defendants move for clarification of the order granting plaintiffs' second motion for further relief, dated May 27, 2011. Plaintiffs oppose. Good cause not shown, defendants' motion is **DENIED**. The motion effectively requests reconsideration, but it was not presented properly as a motion for leave to file a motion for reconsideration, nor does it contain grounds that would warrant reconsideration. The order dated May 27 requires the State of California to instruct the counties to send checks to foster parents at the new rates beginning with the next

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

round of checks beginning on May 27. If there is a reimbursement that had not yet been made as of May 27, it should be made at the compliant rate.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 9, 2011.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE