

1 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CSBN 44332)
 United States Attorney
 Northern District of California
 2 JOANN M. SWANSON (CSBN 88143)
 Chief, Civil Division
 3 CHARLES M. O’CONNOR (CSBN 56320)
 Assistant United States Attorney
 4 450 Golden Gate Avenue, 9th Floor
 P.O. Box 36055
 5 San Francisco, CA 94102-3495
 Telephone: (415) 436-7200
 6 Facsimile: (415) 436-6748

7 Attorneys for Defendants
 and Counter-Complainant

8
 9 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 10 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
 11 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

12 SAN FRANCISCO AESTHETICS AND)
 LASER MEDICINE, INC.)

13 Plaintiff,)
 14)

15 v.)

16 THE PRESIDIO TRUST, et al.)

17 Defendants.)

No. C 07-5170 EDL

**STIPULATION TO SHORTEN
 TIME FOR HEARING OF
 FEDERAL DEFENDANTS’
 MOTION TO EXPAND THE RECORD**

(Civil Local Rules 6-1 and 6-2)

18 THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)

19 Defendant and)
 20 Counter-Claimant,)

21 v.)

22 SAN FRANCISCO AESTHETICS AND)
 LASER MEDICINE, INCORPORATED,)
 23 JEANNIE TSAI, M.D.)

24 Plaintiffs and)
 25 Counter-Defendants.)

ORDER
 (~~Proposed~~)

26 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by the plaintiffs and federal defendants, through their
 27 respective undersigned counsel, that the noticed time for hearing of federal defendants’ motion to
 28 expand the record for the plaintiffs’ motion for de novo determination of the cross-motions to

Stipulation to Shorten Time for Hearing of Defendants’ Motion to Expand the Record; Order
San Francisco Aesthetics v. The Presidio Trust, et. al C 07-5170 EDL (MEJ)

1 enforce settlement agreement (hereafter, "Motion for De Novo Determination") may be
2 shortened to 21 days and heard on the same date as the plaintiffs' Motion for De Novo
3 Determination, August 18, 2009.

4 Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-1 and 6-2, this stipulation is accompanied by a declaration of
5 counsel for federal defendants setting forth the reasons and ramifications of granting this motion.

6 This is the first request to shorten time for the federal defendants' motion to expand the
7 record and, unless the Court orders a change, the shortening of time will not cause any change to
8 any hearing dates or other scheduled matters in this case. A proposed order granting the
9 requested shortening of time and including any changes to the schedule determined necessary by
10 the Court, is attached hereto.

11 Respectfully submitted,

12 Dated: July 27, 2009

LAW OFFICES OF STEPHEN KENT ROSE

13 /s/

14 STEPHEN KENT ROSE

15 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

16
17 Dated: July 27, 2009

ZACKS UTRECHT & LEADBETTER

18 /s/

19 PAUL F. UTRECHT

20 Attorneys for Danielle McKinney

21 //

22 //

23 //

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: July 27, 2009

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO
United States Attorney

/s/

CHARLES M. O'CONNOR
Assistant United States Attorney

Attorneys for Federal Defendants and
Erin Magagna

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED, the federal defendants' may file their motion to expand the record by July 27, 2009. Plaintiffs' opposition to defendants' motion to expand the record will be filed not later than August 4 ____, 2009. Defendants reply, if any, may filed not later than August 7 ____, 2009. The Court will hear defendants' motion to expand the record as noticed, on August 18, 2009 at 9:00 a.m. (on _____, 2009, at _____ a.m. (p.m.))

Dated: July 31, 2009

ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE
United States Magistrate

