

of its insurance policies with claimants, and a declaration that it not be held responsible for their

1	legal defense in an underlying arbitration. The claimants (via the arbitration) have been trying
2	to collect on the insurance policy since 2004 and plaintiff, through the rehabilitator, is using the
3	New York proceeding as a vehicle to deny that claim.
4	Plaintiff now moves to extend the stay for an additional 60 days. For the following
5	reasons, the extension is <b>GRANTED</b> .
6	On March 4, 2010, an order of liquidation was entered against plaintiff in New York
7	Supreme Court. The order appoints the Superintendent of Insurance of the State of New York
8	as liquidator (Fama Decl. Exh. A at 2). California Insurance Code § 1063.6 provides for an
9	additional stay upon the entry of an order of liquidation. It provides in pertinent part:
10	"All proceedings in which the insolvent insurer is a party shall be stayed for 60 days from the date that an order of liquidation
11	has been entered by a court in the state of domicile of the insurer."
12	Because a stay of 60 days after an order of liquidation is mandatory under California
13	law, plaintiff's motion is <b>GRANTED</b> . The final pretrial conference scheduled for April 19, 2010,
14	
15	is continued to JUNE 21, 2010, AT 2:00 P.M. The trial scheduled for May 3, 2010, is continued
16	to JULY 26, 2010, AT 7:30 A.M. If plaintiff or its liquidator believe that pursuit of this action
17	would violate New York state's rehabilitation scheme, it is free to dismiss its complaint. If
18	plaintiff is going to abandon this action then it must so elect in writing by <b>JUNE 7, 2010</b> .
19	
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.
21	Win Alma
22	Dated: April 1, 2010. WILLIAM ALSUP
23	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
24	
25	
26	
20 27	
27	
20	
	2