

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MELISSA FRIEND, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
THE HERTZ CORPORATION,
Defendant.

No. C-07-5222 MMC

**ORDER DIRECTING DEFENDANT TO
SUBMIT CHAMBERS COPY OF
DOCUMENTS IN COMPLIANCE WITH
GENERAL ORDER 45 AND THE
COURT'S STANDING ORDERS**


On November 24, 2010, defendant electronically filed its Sur-Reply in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification, as well as a declaration in support thereof. Defendant has violated General Order 45 and the Court's Standing Orders, however, by failing to deliver to the Clerk's Office "no later than noon on the business day following the day that the papers are filed electronically, one paper copy of each document that is filed electronically . . . marked 'Chambers Copy' and . . . clearly marked with the judge's name, case number, and 'Chambers Copy-Do Not File.'" See General Order 45 § VI.G; see also Standing Orders for Civil Cases Assigned to the Honorable Maxine M. Chesney ¶ 2.

Defendant is hereby ORDERED to comply with General Order 45 and the Court's Standing Orders by immediately submitting a chambers copy of the above-referenced documents. Defendant is hereby advised that if it fails in the future to comply with General Order 45 and the Court's Standing Order to provide a chambers copy of each

1 electronically-filed document, the Court may impose sanctions, including, but not limited to,
2 striking from the record any electronically-filed document of which a chambers copy has not
3 been timely provided to the Court.

4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5
6 Dated: December 3, 2010

7 
MAXINE M. CHESNEY
United States District Judge

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28