
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10 11		
11	RICHARD W. BERGER and BRANT W. No. C 07-05279 JSW (MEJ) BERGER,	
13	Plaintiffs, ORDER RE: DOCUMENTS PRODUCED TO THE COURT FOR IN CAMERA	
14	vs. REVIEW	
15	SEYFARTH SHAW LLP, et al.,	
16	/ Defendants.	
17		
18		
19	The Court has received the documents listed as items 3-6 and 14-21 on the Second Amended	
20	Privilege Log and finds as follows:	
21	Items 3-5 are confidential documents protected by the attorney-client privilege.	
22	The document listed as No. 6 in the privilege log is the fee agreement between Plaintiffs and	
23	Branton. The communication from Branton to his attorney does not contain a legal opinion nor does	
24	it seek legal advice, so the Court finds that Item 6 is not afforded the protection of the attorney-client	
25	privilege.	
26	Item 14 on the privilege log is not covered by the attorney-client privilege.	
27	Items 15-21 are privileged.	
28	The items that this Court has found not to be privileged must be delivered to Defendants	

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

Dockets.Justia.com

United States District Court



DISTR

Judge Maria-Elena James

RNDISTRI

s wagistrate

and ge