1		
2		
3	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
4		
5	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6 7	RICHARD W. BERGER and BRANT W. No. C 07-05279 JSW (MEJ) BERGER,	
8	Plaintiffs, ORDER RE: PLAINTIFFS' DOCUMENT PRODUCTION	
9	vs. REQUEST	
10	SEYFARTH SHAW LLP, et al.,	
11	Defendants.	
12		
13	The Court is in receipt of the parties' joint discovery dispute letter, filed on March 26, 2009.	
14	(Dkt. #141). In the letter, the parties dispute whether defendants Seyfarth Shaw and Jack Slobodin	
15	must produce discovery in connection with Plaintiffs' Document Request No. 19. In its document	
16	request, Plaintiffs seek all communications between Defendants and third-party Rossignol, the	
17	defendant in the underlying patent litigation . Plaintiffs contend that the documents are relevant, an	ıd
18	thus discoverable. In response, Defendants seek for Plaintiffs to share the \$10,000 cost that	
19	Defendants incurred to obtain the documents.	
20	After consideration of the parties' positions, the Court hereby ORDERS Plaintiffs to pay	
21	Defendants \$3,000 as contribution for discovery costs that Defendants incurred in obtaining the	
22	documents from Rossignol. Further, the Court hereby ORDERS Defendants to produce the	
23	correspondence between its counsel and Rossignol's counsel within seven calendar days of this	
24	order.	

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 31, 2009

25

26

27

28

MARIA-VILLA JAMES United States Magistrate Judge