STIPULATION

3 4 5 6 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

27

28

1

2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RICHARD W. BERGER and BRANT W. BERGER,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

SEYFARTH SHAW LLP, an Illinois limited liability partnership; JACK L. SLOBODIN, an individual; BURNETT, BURNETT & ALLEN, a California partnership; DOUGLAS B. ALLEN, an individual; and DOES 1-100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 07-cv-05279-JSW

STIPULATION RE: CONTINUANCE OF LAST DATE TO FILE DISMISSAL OF CASE; [PROPOSED] ORDER

Honorable Judge Jeffrey S. White, Presiding (E-Filing)

Pursuant to Rule 6(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule 6 of the Civil Local Rules of the United States District Court, Northern District of California, Plaintiffs Richard W. Berger and Brant W. Berger ("Plaintiffs"), on the one hand, and Defendants Seyfarth Shaw LLP, Jack L. Slobodin, Burnett, Burnett & Allen and Douglas B. Allen (collectively "Defendants"), on the other hand, hereby stipulate as follows:

WHEREAS, on or about August 3, 2009, the parties in this matter entered into a settlement agreement; and

WHEREAS, a dispute has arisen between Plaintiffs and their counsel, the law firm of Beck, Ross, Bismonte & Finley, LLP ("Beck Ross") regarding fees in this case; and

WHEREAS, the settlement agreement involves escrow arrangements between Beck Ross and Plaintiffs as to part of the disputed fees; and

WHEREAS, on August 10, 2009, by stipulation of the parties, this Court issued an order vacating the then-pending September 18, 2009 case management conference and further ordered that a dismissal of this case with prejudice be filed by no later than September 30, 2009; and

WHEREAS, additional time is required to finalize the escrow arrangements, to complete the settlement and to file the dismissal; and

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and Defendants agree that a brief continuance of the last date by which

1	this case must be dismissed, from September 30, 2009 to October 14, 2009, is appropriate; and						
2	WHEREAS, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-1(b), a Court order should give effect to this						
3	stipulation;						
4	NOW THEREFORE, Plaintiff and Defendants hereby stipulate as follows:						
5	1.0 The last date by which this case must be dismissed shall be to and including October 14,						
6	2009.						
7	2.0 The parties ask that the Court enter an order extending the date for entry of dismissal to						
8	October 14, 2009.						
9		,		BECK, ROSS, BISMONTE & FINLEY LLP			
10			_				
11	Dated: Octob	er 2, 2009	By:	/s/ Ronald C. Finley Ronald C. Finley			
12				Attorneys of Record for Plaintiffs			
13							
14				IDELL & SEITEL LLP			
15	Dated: Octob	er 2 2009	By:	/s/ Richard J. Idell			
	Dated. Octob	Ci 2, 2007	Dy.	Richard J. Idell			
16				Attorneys Specially Appearing for Plaintiffs			
17							
18				KEKER & VAN NEST LLP			
19	Dated: Octob	er 2, 2009	By:	/s/ Daniel E. Purcell			
20		,	j	Daniel E. Purcell			
21				Attorneys for Defendants Seyfarth Shaw LLP and Jack L. Slobodin			
22							
23				Burnett, Burnett & Allen			
24							
25	Dated: October 2, 2009		By:	/s/ Douglas B. Allen Douglas B. Allen			
26				Attorneys for Defendants Burnett, Burnett & Allen and			
27				Douglas B. Allen			
28							
				3			

1	- [PROPOSED] ORDER							
2	Pursuant to the foregoing Stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED.							
3		October 2, 2009		Jeffry Swhits				
4	Dated: _		By:	Hop. Jears S White				
5				Judge of the United States District Court				
6				Northern District of California				
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								
				A				

STIPULATION