| Berger et al | . Seyfarth Shaw, LLP et al | | | | Doc. 157 |
|--------------|---|-------------------|----------------|------------------------------|----------|
| - | Case3:07-cv-05279-JSW Docume | ent156 Fil | ed10/13/09 | Page1 of 4 | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 1 | RICHARD J. IDELL (SBN 069033) | | | | |
| 2 | ORY SANDEL (SBN 233204) IDELL & SEITEL LLP | | | | |
| | 465 California Street, Suite 300 | | | | |
| 3 | San Francisco, CA 94104 | | | | |
| 4 | Telephone: (415) 986-2400 Facsimile: (415) 392-9259 | | | | |
| 5 | Attorneys Specially Appearing for Plaintiffs | | | | |
| 6 | Richard W. Berger and Brant W. Berger | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | |
| | DANIEL EDWARD PURCELL (SBN 191424) | | | | |
| 8 | KEKER & VAN NEST LLP | | | | |
| 9 | 710 Sansome Street San Francisco, CA 94111-1704 | | | | |
| 10 | Telephone: (415) 391-5400 | | | | |
| 11 | Facsimile: (415) 397-7188 | 4 | | | |
| | Attorneys for Defendants Seyfarth Shaw LLP and Jack L. Slobodin | l | | | |
| 12 | | | | | |
| 13 | DOUGLAS B. ALLEN (SBN 099239) | | | | |
| 14 | BURNETT, BURNETT & ALLEN | | | | |
| 15 | 333 West Santa Clara Street, 8th Floor | | | | |
| 16 | San Jose, CA 95110 Telephone: (408) 298-6540 | | | | |
| | Facsimile: (408) 298-0914 | | | | |
| 17 | Attorneys for Defendants Burnett, Burnett & Alle | | | | |
| 18 | and Douglas B. Allen | | | | |
| 19 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | | |
| 20 | NORTHERN DIST | TRICT OF (| CALIFORNI | Α | |
| 21 | RICHARD W. BERGER and BRANT W. | Case No | o.: 07-cv-0527 | 9-JSW | |
| 22 | BERGER, | STIPUL | LATION RE: | DISMISSAL WITH | |
| | Plaintiffs, | | | P ROPOSED] ORDER | <u>`</u> |
| 23 | VS. | THERE | | 447 | |
| 24 | SEYFARTH SHAW LLP, an Illinois limited | | Civ. P., Rule | - | |
| 25 | liability partnership; JACK L. SLOBODIN, an individual; BURNETT, BURNETT & ALLEN, | | U U | ey S. White, Presiding | |
| 26 | California partnership; DOUGLAS B. ALLEN, a | I (E-Finne | g) | | |
| 27 | individual; and DOES 1-100, inclusive, | | | | |
| 28 | Defendants. | | | | |
| 20 | Pursuant to Rule 41 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs Richard W. Berger and | | | | and |
| | 1 | | | | |

Brant W. Berger ("Plaintiffs"), on the one hand, and Defendants Seyfarth Shaw LLP, Jack L. Slobodin, 1 2 Burnett, Burnett & Allen and Douglas B. Allen (collectively "Defendants"), on the other hand, hereby 3 stipulate as follows: 4 WHEREAS, on or about August 3, 2009, the parties in this action entered into a settlement 5 agreement; and 6 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and Defendants agree that this action can be dismissed with prejudice; 7 and 8 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and Defendants agree that each party shall bear its own attorneys' fees 9 and costs incurred in this action; and WHEREAS, Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides that the 10 11 plaintiff may dismiss an action without a court order by filing a stipulation of dismissal signed by all 12 parties who have appeared; 13 NOW THEREFORE, Plaintiff and Defendants, by and through their respective undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate as follows: 14 15 1.0 This action shall be dismissed with prejudice. 2.0 Each party to this action shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this 16 action. 17 18 3.0 This Stipulation shall be presented to the Court for an Order thereon. 19 4.0 Nothing in this Stipulation shall constitute a dismissal or release of claims between 20 Plaintiffs, on the one hand, and Beck, Ross, Bismonte & Finley, LLP, or any lawyer in 21 that firm, on the other hand, all of which claims are fully reserved. 22 Dated: October 12, 2009 By: /s/ Richard W. Berger 23 Richard W. Berger Plaintiff 24 25 Dated: October 12, 2009 /s/ Brant W. Berger By: 26 Brant W. Berger Plaintiff 27 28 2 STIPULATION RE: DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE AND [PROPOSED] ORDER THEREON

| | Case3:07-cv-05279-JSW Document156 Filed10/13/09 Page3 of 4 | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1 2 3 4 5 | Dated: October 12, 2009 By: /s/ Daniel E. Purcell Daniel E. Purcell Attorneys for Defendants Seyfarth Shaw LLP and Jack L. Slobodin | | | | |
| 6 7 8 9 10 | Dated: October 12, 2009 By: /s/ Douglas B. Allen Douglas B. Allen Attorneys for Defendants Burnett, Burnett & Allen and Douglas B. Allen | | | | |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Image: Proposed of the parties The Stipulation of the parties for dismissal of the above action having been filed by the parties pursuant to a settlement of the action and good cause appearing therefor, the Court makes the following order: IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the above action is dismissed with prejudice, each party to bear their own costs and fees. Nothing in this order of dismissal shall be deemed to release or dismiss claims between Plaintiffs, on the one hand, and Beck, Ross, Bismonte and Finley, LLP, or any lawyer in that | | | | |
| 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | firm, on the other hand, all of which claims are fully reserved. Dated: October 13, 2009 By: How Jeffice's White Judge of the United States District Court Northern District of California | | | | |
| 28 | 3 STIPULATION RE: DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE AND [PROPOSED] ORDER THEREON | | | | |