1 2 3 4	PAUL L. REIN, Esq., (SBN 43053) JULIE A. OSTIL, Esq., (SBN 215202) CELIA McGUINNESS, esq. (SBN 159420) LAW OFFICES OF PAUL L. REIN 200 Lakeside Drive, Suite A Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: 510/832-5001 Facsimile: 510/832-4787
5	
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
6	JANA OVERBO and NICOLE BROWN-BOOKER
7	
8	M. BRETT BURNS, Esq.
9	Y. ANNA SUH, Esq. HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP 575 Market St., Suite 3700
10	San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: 415/975-3700
11	Telephone: 415/975-3700   Facsimile: 415/975-3701
11	
12	Attorneys for Defendants LOEWS CALIFORNIA THEATRES, INC.,
13	AMC MULTI-CINEMA, INC., and WESTFIELD, LLC
14	
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16	
17	
18	JANA OVERBO and CASE NO. C07-05368 MHP NICOLE BROWN-BOOKER,
19	Civil Rights Plaintiffs,
20	TOTAL CETATIT ACTION
21	V. JOINT STIPULATION and <del>[PROPOSED] O</del> RDER TO EXTEND
·	LOEWS CALIFORNIA MEDIATION DEADLINE
22	THEATRES, INC. dba AMC   LOEWS METREON 16 IMAX;
23	WESTFIELD CORPORATION; and DOES 1-10, Inclusive,
24	
2.5	Defendants.
25	
26	Plaintiffs JANA OVERBO and NICOLE BROWN-BOOKER and
27	defendants LOEWS CALIFORNIA THEATRES, INC. dba AMC LOEWS
28	METREON 16 IMAX; and WESTFIELD CORPORATION, hereby jointly
LAW OFFICES OF PAUL L. REIN 200 LAKESIDE DR., SUITE A	IONT STRUIT ATION TO EVIEND MEDIATION DEADLINE

IOINT STIPULATION TO EXTEND MEDIATION DEADLINE CASE NO. C07-05368 MHP C:\Do

200 LAKESIDE DR., SUITE A OAKLAND, CA 94612-3503 (510) 832-5001

C:\Documents and Sedings\12136\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLKF\joint stip to extend med deadline.wpd

mediation to occur through December 8, 2008

1. The parties have diligently followed General Order 56: the parties conducted two cooperative site inspections of the Metreon Theatre on January 28, 2008 and April 15, 2008, plaintiffs shared their access consultant's report with defendants regarding injunctive relief, and the parties have engaged in settlement discussions both with and without mediator Jeff Ross.

stipulate and request through their attorneys of record that the Court continue the

current mediation deadline for 90 days to allow settlement discussions and

- 2. Although the parties have been proceeding in good faith and in a diligent manner, it has not been possible yet to resolve all the injunctive relief issues because defendants' expert has not had a full opportunity to review the access consultant's report. As a result defendants have not responded to plaintiffs' injunctive relief demand.
- 3. To avoid even the appearance of a conflict of interest between plaintiffs and the public interest, or their counsel, it is the policy of plaintiffs' counsel not to discuss monetary damages and attorney fees in settlement negotiations before injunctive relief is finalized among the parties. This approach is also required by General Order 56.
- 4. Accordingly, as injunctive relief has not yet been finalized in this case, it would be premature to hold a mediation before the parties have had an opportunity to discuss settlement in detail.
- 5. All the parties and the mediator, Jeff Ross, are in agreement that in order to continue meaningful injunctive relief and settlement discussions the mediation deadline should be extended.
- 6. The parties believe that this extension will make settlement much more likely; if the parties were required to hold mediation now settlement would not be

C:\Documents and Sending

Judge Marilyn H. Patel

LAW OFFICES OF PAUL L. REIN 200 LAKESIDE DR., SUITE A OAKLAND, CA 94612-3503 (510) 833-5001

JOINT STIPULATION TO EXTEND MEDIATION DEADLINE

CASE NO. C07-05368 MHP