
KLEIN,

O'NEILL &



WHEREAS, on November 9, 2007, Plaintiff Plustek Inc. ("Plustek") filed an action in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California against Defendant Syscan, Inc. ("Plustek"), requesting, *inter alia*, for a declaratory judgment that Plustek does not infringe any claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,705,124 (the "124 Patent"), and that the '124 Patent is invalid.

WHEREAS, the Court issued a Claim Construction Order on December 21, 2009 (Docket No. 44), and ordered the Parties to submit a proposed schedule for further proceedings.

**WHEREAS**, through their respective counsel of record, the Parties have met and conferred to discuss the case schedule.

**NOW, THEREFORE,** the Parties, through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate and respectfully request the Court to order the case schedule as follows:

PLEADING OR EVENT	DATE
The Parties' respective Amended Infringement Contentions and Amended Invalidity Contentions (Patent L.R. 3-6)	January 20, 2010 (subject to the order of the Court upon a timely showing of good cause)
The Parties' respective Advice of Counsel (Patent L.R. 3-7)	February 9, 2010
Close of fact discovery re merits of claims and defenses	March 31, 2010
Initial Expert Reports on issues on which party bears the burden of proof at trial	May 10, 2010
Rebuttal Expert Reports	June 9, 2010
Close of Expert Discovery	August 5, 2010
Dispositive Motions and Motions to Bifurcate Trial (last day to file)	August 26, 2010

## Case3:07-cv-05718-JL Document45 Filed12/30/09 Page3 of 5

1 2	Oppositions to Dispositive Motions and Motions to Bifurcate Trial	September 9, 2010	
3 4 5	Replies to Dispositive Motions and Motions to Bifurcate Trial	September 16, 2010	
6	Completion and Filing of Pretrial Order	TBD	
7 8	Final Pretrial Conference	TBD	
9	Trial	TBD	
11 12 13	DATED: December 30, 2009  By /s/ Yun	Yung Ming Chou Ming Chou	
14   15   16   17	SYS	g Ming Chou rneys for Defendant C <b>AN, INC.</b> , O'NEILL & SINGH, LLP	
18 19	By/s/ Sang Atto PLU	Sang N. Dang N. Dang Theys for Plaintiff STEK INC.	
20 21			
22			
23			
24 25			
26			
27			
28	STIPULATION REGARDING CASE	2	Case No. C 07-05718 II

KLEIN, O'NEILL & SINGH, LLP

## Case3:07-cv-05718-JL Document45 Filed12/30/09 Page4 of 5

1	<del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER
2	It is so <b>ORDERED</b> .
3	
4	DATED: January 11, 2010  U.S. THEF MAGISTRATE JUDGE
5	U.S. THEF MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
,	STIPLILATION REGARDING CASE

KLEIN, O'NEILL & SINGH, LLP

STIPULATION REGARDING CASE SCHEDULE