1			
2			
3			
4			
5	5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
7			
8	SAMUEL B JOHNSON III,	Case No. C07-05756 SI (JCS)	
9	Plaintiff(s),	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S	
10	V.	MOTION TO TAKE MORE THAN 10 DEPOSITIONS [Docket No. 208]	
11	CHEVRON CORPORATION, ET AL.,		
12	Defendant(s).		
13	/		
14			
15	Plaintiff filed a letter, dated December 9, 2008. [Docket #208]. Defendants filed an opposing		
4.0			

16 letter on December 15, 2008. The Court treats plaintiff's letter as a motion to permit plaintiff to take
17 13 depositions in this action.

18 Plaintiff has not established good cause for the taking of depositions beyond the ten 19 permitted by the Federal Rules. He has not yet taken ten depositions. He has not identified who he 20 would like to depose, or the reason why their testimony is important to the case. Plaintiff points out 21 generally that this is a case with twelve defendants, nine of which are employees, but does not even 22 say that he intends to use the additional depositions to depose defendants, and if so, which 23 defendants. Finally, plaintiff did not bring this matter to the court's attention in until 22 days before 24 the close of discovery – a period of time that not only includes the holidays, but during which many 25 depositions are already scheduled. As a result of this lack of diligence, plaintiff has not even 26 proposed any schedule on which the extra depositions could occur before the discovery cut off of

27

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

1	December 31, 2008. Accordingly, the motio	n is DENIED.
2	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
3	3	
4	Dated: December 17, 2008	Na
5		JOSEPH C. SPERO United States Magistrate Judge
6		enned Suites Mugistrate Sudge
7	,	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15 16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	,	
28		