Watson-Smith v. Spherion Atlantic Enterprises, LLC

Doc. 112

On February 6, 2008, Judge White referred this matter to Early Neutral Evaluation ("ENE"). The parties (defendant Spherion Atlantic Enterprises, LLC and Plaintiff Valerie Watson-Smith) were initially assigned a deadline of May 8, 2008 to complete the ENE. The parties held a pre-ENE telephone conference with assigned evaluator Sandra McCandless on May 5, 2008. During the pre-ENE telephone conference, the parties and the evaluator discussed the status of this pending action, and the fact that there were ongoing discovery disputes regarding the scope of the putative classes in the action. Evaluator McCandless voiced her concern that proceeding with the ENE at this stage of the litigation may not be beneficial to the parties due to the pending disputes and uncertainty regarding the scope of the putative classes. The parties and Ms. McCandless agreed that it would be more beneficial to continue the deadline for completing the ENE for six additional months so the parties would be in a better position to analyze their respective positions and prepare for the evaluation. Ms. McCandless suggested, and the parties agreed, that the parties should request that the Court continue the deadline for completing the ENE six months. On May 12, 2008, the Court extended the deadline to November 7, 2008.

On October 24, 2008, the parties and Ms. McCandless held a second pre-ENE telephone conference. As with the previous conference, the parties and Ms. McCandless agreed that due to the case's posture, the ENE at this stage of the litigation would not be beneficial to the parties. Specifically, due to the pending Motion to Amend the Pleadings and Motion to Compel currently before the court, the parties and Ms. McCandless agreed that the parties should request that the Court continue the deadline for completing the ENE for four additional months.

On February 4, 2009, the parties and Ms. McCandless held a third pre-ENE telephone conference. As with the previous two conferences, the parties and Ms. McCandless agreed that due to the case's posture, the ENE at this stage of the litigation would not be beneficial to the parties. Once again, due to the pending Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint currently before the court, the parties and Ms. McCandless agreed that the parties should request

1	that the Court continue the deadline for completing the ENE for one month. In fact, the parties		
2	and Ms. McCandless have scheduled an ENE for March 24, 2009 at 1:00 p.m.		
3	IT IS STIPULATED AS FOLLOWS:		
4	The deadline for completing the ENE in this matter shall be continued from March 6,		
5	2009, to April 6, 2009. The parties have met and conferred and agree that the continuance of the		
6	ENE is justified, due to the uncertainty regarding the scope of the putative classes in the case.		
. 7	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
8	DATED: February 12, 2009	SEYFARTH SHAW LLP	
9			
10			/s/ Alfred L. Sanderson, Jr. Alfred L. Sanderson Jr.
11	·	Attorne	Anthony J. Musante ys for Defendant SPHERION
12	e de la companya de l	ATLAN	TIC ENTERPRISES, LLC
13			
14	DATED: February 12, 2009	QUALI	S AND WORKMAN, LLP
15		Ву	/s/ Daniel H. Qualls
16		Attorne	Daniel H. Qualls y for Plaintiff Valerie Watson-Smith
17		and all o	others similarly situated.
18			
19			
20	ORDER		
21	The Stipulation of the Parties is adopted by the Court.		
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
23			
24	DATED: February 17, 2009	Strict	Judge Jeffrey S. White
25			Judge some; B. Winte
26			
27			
28	-3- STIPULATION AND [ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] ORDER TO CONTINUE EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION CONFERENCE		

SC1 17101124.1