1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ANNE-LEITH MATLOCK MATLOCK LAW GROUP, A Professional Corporation 1485 Treat Blvd., Suite 200 Walnut Creek, CA 94597 Phone: (925) 944-7131 Fax: (925) 944-7138 E-mail: anne-leith@matlocklawgroup.com <i>Attorneys for Plaintiff</i> THE TONYTAIL COMPANY, INC. THOMAS F. FITZPATRICK GOODWIN PROCTER LLP 135 Commonwealth Drive Menlo Park, California 94025 Telephone: 650-752-3100 Facsimile: 650-853-1038		
10	E-Mail: TFitzpatrick@goodwinprocter.com		
11	Attorneys For Defendants	NOTES CORD	
12	CONAIR CORPORATION and RITE AID HDQTRS. CORP.		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
15	SANTRANCISC		
16 17	THE TONYTAIL COMPANY, INC. a Delaware Corporation,	CASE NO. 3:07-cv-05895-WHA	
18	Plaintiff,	- [PROPOSED] ORDER DISMISSING	
19	V.	CLAIMS 4 AND 5 OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,263,884	
20	CONAIR, a Delaware Corporation;		
21	SCUNCI INTL., Ltd., a Delaware		
22	Corporation; RITE AID, a Delaware Corporation; L&N Sales & Marketing,		
23	Inc., and DOES 1-10, inclusive,		
24	Defendants.		
25			
26			
27			
28			
Matlock Law			
Group, PC.		Case No.3:07-cv-05895-WHA	
		Dockets.Justi	

1	THIS MATTER having been brought before the Court by Plaintiff The Tonytail	
2	Company, Inc. and Defendants Conair Corporation and Rite Aid HDQTRS. Corp,	
3	pursuant to the stipulation executed by the parties and submitted to this Court, and for	
4	other good cause shown,	
5	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
6	(1) all of Plaintiff's causes of action with respect to claims 4 and 5 of U.S.	
7	Patent No. 6,263,884, are hereby dismissed with prejudice;	
8	(2) Defendants' counterclaim requesting a declaratory judgment of non-	
9	infringement with respect to claims 4 and 5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,263,884 is	
10	dismissed with prejudice;	
11	(3) Defendants' counterclaim requesting a declaratory judgment of invalidity	
12	with respect to claims 4 and 5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,263,884 is dismissed without	
13	prejudice; and	
14	(4) neither party will assert a cause of action against the other (or any related	
15	entity, affiliate, assignee, or successor) based in whole or part on the	
16	infringement, non-infringement, or invalidity of claims 4 and 5 of U.S. Patent No.	
17	6,263,884.	
18	TES DISTRICT	
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
20	IT IS SO ORDERED	
21	Dated: September 10, 2008.	
22	WILLY United States District Court Judge	
23 24	Northern District California San Francisco Division	
24 25		
23 26		
20		
27		
Matlock		
Law Group, PC.	Case No.3:07-cv-05895-WHA	