

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7

8 JOE BELL,

No. C 07-6134 MHP (pr)

9 Petitioner,

**ORDER PERMITTING
SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFS**

10 v.

11 BEN CURRY, warden,

12 Respondent.
13 _____/

14 A recent en banc decision from the Ninth Circuit addressed important issues relating to
15 federal review of parole decisions, Hayward v Marshall, No. 06-55392, 2010 WL 1664977 (9th Cir.
16 April 22, 2010) (en banc). The court will provide the parties an opportunity to submit supplemental
17 briefs (not to exceed **15 pages** in length) explaining their views of how the Hayward en banc
18 decision applies to this parole denial habeas case. The court sets the following schedule:

19 1. No later than **May 10, 2010**, respondent must send to petitioner a copy of the
20 Hayward en banc decision.

21 2. No later than **May 28, 2010**, respondent must file and serve any supplemental brief
22 on the impact of Hayward on this action.

23 3. No later than **July 2, 2010**, petitioner must file and serve any supplemental brief on
24 the impact of Hayward on this action.

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 DATED: April 28, 2010



Marilyn Hall Patel
United States District Judge