Case: 09-72885 11/17/2009 Page: 1 of 2 DktEntry: 7133274

Doc. 59

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

NOV 17 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

In re: DANNY ATTERBURY.

DANNY ATTERBURY,

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA,

Respondent,

ED FOULK; et al.,

Real Parties in Interest.

No. 09-72885

D.C. No. 3:07-cv-06256-MHP Northern District of California, San Francisco

ORDER

Before: WARDLAW, FISHER and BERZON, Circuit Judges.

Petitioner has not demonstrated that this case warrants the intervention of

this court by means of the extraordinary remedy of mandamus. See Bauman v.

United States Dist. Court, 557 F.2d 650 (9th Cir. 1977). Accordingly, the petition

is denied.

The motion to proceed in forma pauperis is denied as moot.

AT/MOATT

No motions for reconsideration, rehearing, clarification, or any other submissions shall be filed or entertained in this closed docket.