

1 Steven J. Nataupsky (State Bar No. 155,913)
Steven.Nataupsky@kmob.com
2 Frederick S. Berretta (State Bar No. 144,757)
Fred.Berretta@kmob.com
3 Boris Zelkind (State Bar No. 214,014)
Boris.Zelkind@kmob.com
4 Marc T. Morley (State Bar No. 211,242)
Marc.Morley@kmob.com
5 Jeremy R. Pierce (State Bar No. 246,961)
Jeremy.Pierce@kmob.com
6 Alan L. Kessler (State Bar No. 254,010)
Alan.Kessler@kmob.com

7
8 KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP
9 550 West C Street, Suite 1200
10 San Diego, CA 92101
11 Phone: (619) 235-8550
12 Facsimile: (619) 235-0176

13 Attorneys for Plaintiff
14 99¢ ONLY STORES

15 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
16 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

17 99¢ ONLY STORES, a California corporation, 18 Plaintiff, 19 v. 20 99¢ PLUS DISCOUNT STORE, a California 21 company, ABDUL RAHMIN, an Individual, and 22 DOES 1-20, INCLUSIVE, 23 Defendants.) Civil Action No. 07-cv-06395-CRB) [PROPOSED] ORDER TO SHOW) CAUSE WHY DEFENDANTS) SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN) CONTEMPT OF COURT AND) SANCTIONED) Date: August 21, 2009) Time: 10:00 a.m.) Ctrm: 8) Before the Honorable Charles R.) Breyer
---	--

24
25
26
27
28

1 This Court, having reviewed Plaintiff's Motion for an Order to Show Cause why
2 Defendants should not be held in Contempt of Court, all documents in support and in
3 opposition to the Motion, and for good cause being shown, HEREBY ORDERS THAT:

4 Defendants shall appear before this Court at 450 Golden Gate Ave., Courtroom 8, 19th
5 Floor, on the 21st day of August, 2009 at 10:00 AM of that day or as soon thereafter as
6 Defendants can be heard why an order should not be made and entered herein:

- 7 1) Holding Defendants in contempt of the Court's Judgment;
- 8 2) Ordering Defendants to immediately remove all signage bearing the mark "99"
9 from all businesses or properties in their control or possession, and to notify the Court of
10 compliance within 14 days of the Court's order;
- 11 3) In the event that Defendants fail to timely remove the signage, ordering the
12 United States Marshals to effect removal and destruction of every sign bearing the mark "99"
13 at 585 Front Street, Suite A, Soledad, California 93960 at Defendants' expense;
- 14 4) Ordering Defendants to immediately pay to 99¢ the monetary portion of the
15 Judgment plus a reasonable rate of interest to be determined by the Court and to be assessed
16 over the period between October 8, 2008, the date of receipt by Defendants of 99¢'s letter, and
17 the date of payment of the Judgment;
- 18 5) Ordering Defendants to pay 99¢'s attorneys' fees and its other costs expended
19 while investigating and filing the present Motion; and
- 20 6) Ordering such other relief that is just and proper under the circumstances.

21
22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23
24
25 Dated: July 14, 2009

By: _____

26
27 99CENTL.109L

28 [Proposed] Order to Show Cause Why Defendants
Should Not Be Held in Contempt of Court
and Sanctioned

