1	LINDA E. SHOSTAK (CA SBN 64599)	
2	LShostak@mofo.com JAMES E. BODDY, JR. (CA SBN 65244)	
3	JBoddy@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	
4	425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105-2482	
	Telephone: 415.268.7000	
5	Facsimile: 415.268.7522	
6	Attorneys for Defendant DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP	
7	MARKUN ZUSMAN & COMPTON LLP	
8	Jeffrey K. Compton (SBN 142969)	
9	Daria Dub Carlson (SBN 150628) William A. Baird (SBN 192675)	
10	17383 Sunset Boulevard, Suite A380 Pacific Palisades, California 90272	
11	Telephone: (310) 454-5900 Facsimile: (310) 454-5970	
12	LAW OFFICE OF STEVEN ELSTER Steven Elster (SBN 227545)	
13	785/E2 Oak Grove Road, #201 Concord, CA 94518-3617	
14	Telephone: (925) 324-2159	
15	Facsimile: (925) 945-1276	
16	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Certified Class	
17	UNITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT
18	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORM	NIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
19		
20	JAMES BRADY, SARAH CAVANAGH, and	Case No. C-08-00177-SI
21	IVA CHIU, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	STIPULATION AND [P ROPOSED]
22	Plaintiffs,	ORDER TO UNSEAL DECERTIFICATION BRIEFS
23	V.	
24	DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP, a limited liability partnership; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,	
25	Defendants	
26		
27		
28		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO UNSEAL DECERTIFI C-08-00177 SI sf-3049065	CATION BRIEFS 1

Dockets.Justia.com

Pursuant to Local Rule 7-12, Plaintiffs and Defendant Deloitte & Touche LLP
 ("Defendant"), through their respective counsel, submit the following stipulation and [proposed]
 order that certain pleadings, as described below, conditionally filed with the Court under seal be
 unsealed.

5 WHEREAS, in the course of the discovery Defendant has produced and disclosed
6 confidential, proprietary, and other private information related to the parties, as well as
7 Defendant's clients, designated "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only"
8 pursuant to the parties' Stipulated Protective Order signed by the Court on March 10, 2009, and
9 filed in the above captioned action on March 11, 2009 ("Designated Produced Materials"), for
10 which Defendant believes special protection from public disclosure and from use for any purpose
11 other than prosecuting this litigation would be warranted;

WHEREAS, the parties have agreed that material designated as confidential, proprietary,
and other private information related to the parties, as well as Defendant's clients, may be
included in or discussed in the parties' filings with respect to Defendant's Motion for Class
Decertification ("Designated Motion Materials");

WHEREAS, the parties agreed and the Court ordered pursuant to the parties' stipulations
and proposed orders that Defendant's Memorandum or Points and Authorities in Support of
Motion for Decertification, Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Class
Decertification, Defendant's Reply in Support of Defendant's Motion for Class Decertification,

20 and Plaintiffs' Sur-Reply to Defendant's Motion for Class Decertification (collectively,

21 "Decertification Briefs") be conditionally filed under seal;

WHEREAS, the parties agreed that they would meet and confer regarding whether the
documents filed under seal pursuant to said stipulations and orders should remain under seal; and
WHEREAS, the parties, having met and conferred, have agreed that the Court may order
the Decertification Briefs filed under seal to be unsealed, without prejudice to either parties'
rights to maintain or not maintain under seal any other briefs or documents filed with the Court
under seal and that said order shall not affect the under-seal status of any other briefs or

28

1	documents filed with the Court under seal, including without limitation any exhibits referred to in
2	the Decertification Briefs.
3	
4	NOW THEREFORE, the parties hereby stipulate, subject to Court approval, that the following
5	briefs conditionally filed under seal herein be unsealed:
6	1. Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Defendant's Motion for
7	Class Decertification;
8	2. Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Class Decertification;
9	3. Reply in Support of Defendant's Motion for Class Decertification; and
10	4. Plaintiffs' Sur-Reply to Defendant's Motion for Decertification.
11	
12	IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.
13	
14	COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP
15	
16	DATE: September 26, 2011 By: <u>/S/ James E. Boddy</u>
17	Linda E. Shostak James E. Boddy, Jr.
18	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
19	COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS
20	
21	DATE: September 26, 2011 By: /s/ William A. Baird
22	William A. Baird
23	Daria Dub Carlson Jeffrey K. Compton
24	MARKUN ZUSMAN & COMPTON, LLP
25	Steven Elster LAW OFFICE OF STEVEN ELSTER
26	LAW OFFICE OF STEVEN ELSTER
27	
28	
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO UNSEAL DECERTIFICATION BRIEFS
	C-08-00177 SI sf-3049065

2	ECF CERTIFICATION	
3	I hereby attest that I have obtained concurrence regarding the filing of this document from	
4	each of the signatories within the e-filed document.	
5		
6	DATE: September 26, 2011 By: <u>/s/ James E. Boddy</u> James E. Boddy	
7		
8	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
9		
0	DATED:9/27/11	
1	Honorable Susan Illston United States District Court Judge	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
20		
21		
2		
.3		
.4		
.5		
.6		
27		
.8	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO UNSEAL DECERTIFICATION BRIEFS	