1 2 3 4	MARKUN ZUSMAN & COMPTON LLP JEFFREY K. COMPTON, State Bar No. 142 DARIA DUB CARLSON, State Bar No. 150 WILLIAM A. BAIRD, State Bar No. 192675 17383 Sunset Boulevard, Suite A380 Pacific Palisades, California 90272 Telephone: (310) 454-5900	969 628
5	Facsimile: (310) 454-5970	
6	LAW OFFICE OF STEVEN ELSTER STEVEN ELSTER State Bar No. 227545	
7	STEVEN ELSTER, State Bar No. 227545 785/E2 Oak Grove Road, #201 Concord, CA 94518-3617	
8	Telephone: (925) 324-2159	
9	Facsimile: (925) 945-1276	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs, James Brady, Sarah Cavanagh & Christopher Sulit	
11	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	
12	LINDA E. SHOSTAK, State Bar No. 64599 JAMES E. BODDY, JR., State Bar No. 65244 KATHRYN M. DAVIS, State Bar No. 203454	
13	KATHRYN M. DAVIS, State Bar No. 203454 425 Market Street	
14	San Francisco, California 94105-2482 Telephone: (415)268-7000	
15	Facsimile: (415)268-7522	
16	Attorneys for Defendant, Deloitte & Touche LLP	
17		
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
20	JAMES BRADY, SARAH CAVANAGH,	
21	and CHRISTOPHER SULIT, individually	CASE NO.: C-08-00177 SI
22	and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE FILING AMENDED
23	Plaintiffs,	COMPLANT
24	VS.	
25	DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP, a limited	
26	liability partnership; and DOES 1-10,	
27	inclusive,	
28	Defendants	
40)	

1 **STIPULATION** The parties, by and through their counsel of record, hereby enter into the 2 3 following stipulation: 4 WHEREAS, on July 10, 2009, pursuant to the Joint Case Management 5 Conference Statement submitted by the parties, the Court ordered that the deadline for Plaintiffs to amend the Complaint prior to certification would be September 15, 6 2009; 7 WHEREAS, the parties entered into an agreement to extend the September 8 15, 2009 deadline until at least September 23, 2009, to allow Plaintiffs to complete 9 10 depositions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6); 11 WHEREAS, after conducting discovery, Plaintiffs have determined that it 12 would be appropriate to add Iva Chiu as a named representative Plaintiff; WHEREAS, after conducting discovery, Plaintiffs have determined that it 13 14 would be appropriate to clarify titles within the class; 15 NOW THEREFORE, the parties hereby stipulate that the Court may enter an Order as follows: 16 17 1. The Third Amended Complaint, lodged concurrently herewith, shall be 18 deemed filed and served upon Defendant, and filed with the Court, as of 19 the date the Order on this Stipulation is entered by the Court; and 20 2. The Answer of Defendant to Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint 21 shall be deemed a sufficient response to Plaintiff's Third Amended 22 Complaint. 23 24 IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD. 25 26 27 28

1	COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS	
2		
3	DATE: September 24, 2009 By: /s/ William A. Baird	
4	JEFFREY K. COMPTON WILLIAM A. BAIRD	
5	Markun Zusman & Compton LLP	
6	STEVE ELSTER	
7	Law Office of Steve Elster	
8	COLUNICIEL POD DEFENTO ANTEC	
9	COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS	
10		
11	DATE: September 24, 2009 By: /s/ Linda E. Shostack LINDA E. SHOSTACK	
12	JAMES E. BODDY, JR.	
13	Morrison & Foerster LLP	
14		
15	ECF CERTIFICATION	
16	I hereby attest that I have obtained concurrence regarding the filing of this	
17	document from each of the signatories within the e-filed document.	
18	D., // 337/11!	
19	DATE: September 24, 2009 By: /s/ William A. Baird William A. Baird	
20		
21	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	DATED:	
27	United States District Judge Susan Illston	
28		