27

28

1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 8 STONEBRAE, L.P., No. C-08-0221 EMC 9 Plaintiff, ORDER RE PAGE LIMITS ON 10 PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS FOR v. PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT 11 TOLL BROS., INC., et al., (**Docket No. 216**) 12 Defendants. 13 14 15 Plaintiff has filed letter brief, dated October 20, 2010, in which it states that it intends to file 16 four separate motions for partial summary judgment and in which it provides a brief description of 17 each motion. Having reviewed that letter and having considered all other evidence of record, the 18 Court hereby concludes that page limits for the motions are appropriate. More specifically, the 19 Court orders that each opening brief and opposition brief shall be no longer than 15 pages. Each 20 reply brief shall be no longer than 7 pages. 21 IT IS SO ORDERED. 22 23 Dated: October 21, 2010 24 EDWARD M. CHEN 25 United States Magistrate Judge 26