1 2	RONALD J. TENPAS Assistant Attorney General Environment & Natural Resources Division			
3	ROCHELLE L. RUSSELL (CA Bar No. 244992) Trial Attorney U.S. Department of Justice			
4				
5	Environment & Natural Resources Division Environmental Defense Section			
6	301 Howard Street, Suite 1050 San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel: (415) 744-6485			
7 8	Fax: (415) 744-6436 Email: rochelle.russell@usdoj.gov Counsel for Defendants			
9				
10				
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
13				
14		No. CV 08-00227 SC		
15	ASSOCIATION OF IRRITATED RESIDENTS, an unincorporated association,))		
16	and NATURÁL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL, INC.,) STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER		
17	Plaintiffs,))		
18	V.))		
19	UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL)		
20	PROTECTION AGENCY, STEPHEN L. JOHNSON, in his official capacity as)		
21	Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and)		
22	WAYNE NASTRI, in his official capacity as Regional Administrator for Region IX of the)		
23	United States Environmental Protection Agency,)		
24	Defendants.))		
25				
26				
27				
28				
	II			

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs Association of Irritated Residents and the Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc. filed a complaint, <u>AIR v. EPA</u>, Case No. CV 08-00227 SC, on January 14, 2008, against the United States Environmental Protection Agency; Stephen L. Johnson, in his official capacity as Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency; and Wayne Nastri, in his official capacity as Administrator for Region IX of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (collectively, "EPA"), pursuant to the citizen suit provision in section 304(a)(2) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7604(a)(2), alleging that EPA failed to perform nondiscretionary duties by not acting on certain state implementation plans within the time lines set forth in section 110(k)(2) of the Clear Air Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2);

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs' claims in <u>AIR v. EPA</u> (other than the costs of litigation and attorneys' fees) were resolved by a consent decree entered by the Court on September 12, 2008 (Dkt. 21) (hereafter "Consent Decree");

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and EPA wish to settle Plaintiffs' claims for costs of litigation, including attorneys' fees, in <u>AIR v. EPA</u> in order to avoid unnecessary litigation and without any admission of fact or law;

NOW THEREFORE, the parties, by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate to the entry of an order that:

- 1. The United States shall pay, within 90 days, \$33,815 to the Center on Race, Poverty & the Environment and \$10,751 to the Natural Resources Defense Council by electronic funds transfer in accordance with instructions provided to Defense counsel by Plaintiffs' counsel.
- 2. Any obligation of the United States to expend funds under this settlement agreement are subject to the availability of appropriations in accordance with the Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. § 1341. This settlement agreement shall not be construed to require the United States to obligate or pay funds in contravention of said Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. § 1341.
- 3. Plaintiffs agree that payment pursuant to Paragraph 1 within 90 days of the date on which the Court enters this Stipulation and Order (or after 90 days if Plaintiffs accept payment after that date) will constitute full and final payment of costs of litigation (including reasonable attorneys' fees) incurred by Plaintiffs in connection with AIR v. EPA prior to the Court's entry of

the Consent Decree and in negotiating this Stipulation and Order. Upon payment within 90 days				
of the date on which the Court enters this Stipulation and Order (or upon payment after 90 days if				
Plaintiffs accept payment), Plaintiffs release the United States, including EPA, from any claims				
regarding such fees and costs incurred by Plaintiffs in connection with AIR v. EPA prior to the				
Court's entry of the Consent Decree and in negotiating this Stipulation and Order. The payment				
pursuant to Paragraph 1 does not affect the right of Plaintiffs to seek to recover reasonable costs				
and attorneys' fees that Plaintiffs may incur to enforce the Consent Decree, nor does it affect the				
right of EPA to oppose any such request for fees and costs, as provided in Paragraph 13 of the				
Consent Decree.				
4. In the event that the United States fails to pay the sum specified in Paragraph 1				

within 90 days of the date on which the Court enters this Stipulation and Order, Plaintiffs may file a motion with the Court for their costs of litigation (including reasonable attorneys' fees). Such motion shall be filed no later than 150 days after the date the Court enters this Stipulation and Order. The parties agree that, in litigation over such fee application, the United States reserves any defenses it may have to a fee application.

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

FOR THE DEFENDANTS:

RONALD J. TENPAS Assistant Attorney General

Environment & Natural Resources Division

Dated: December 3, 2008

/s/ Rochelle L. Russell ROCHELLE L. RUSSELL

United States Department of Justice Environmental Defense Section 301 Howard Street, Suite 1050 San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 744-6485

rochelle.russell@usdoj.gov

22

23 24

FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:

25

26

27

28

Dated: December 3, 2008

/s/ Brent Newell **BRENT NEWELL**

Center on Race, Poverty & the Environment

47 Kearney Street, Suite 804 San Francisco, CA 94108

(415) 346-4179

bnewell@crpe-ej.org

Attorney for Association of Irritated Residents

1 2 3 4		/s/ Adriano Martinez ADRIANO MARTINEZ Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc. 1314 Second Street Santa Monica, CA 90401 (310) 434-2300 amartinez@nrdc.org Attorney for Natural Resources Defense Council
5		
7	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
8		SATES DISTRICT CO
9	Dated:	Hon. Sal IT IS SO ORDERED
11		Senior Lu Tudge Samuel Conti
12		
13		PN DISTRICT OF
14		
15		
16 17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
2425		
26		
27		
28		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I certify that on December 3, 2008, a true and correct copy of the foregoing

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER was served electronically via the Court's efiling system to Counsel of Record.

/s/ Rochelle L. Russell ROCHELLE L. RUSSELL