FENWICK & WEST LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

1	SUSAN S. MUCK (CSB NO. 126930)		
2	smuck@fenwick.com DEAN S. KRISTY (CSB NO. 157646)		
3	dkristy@fenwick.com CATHERINE D. KEVANE (CSB NO. 215501)		
4	<u>ckevane@fenwick.com</u>		
5	LESLIE KRAMER (CSB NO. 253313) <u>lkramer@fenwick.com</u>		
6	FENWICK & WEST LLP 555 California Street, 12th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 875-2300 Facsimile: (415) 281-1350		
0 7			
8	Attorneys for Defendants		
9	ShoreTel, Inc.; John W. Combs; Michael E. Healy; Edwin J. Basart; Mark F. Bregman; Gary J.		
10	Daichendt; Thomas Van Overbeek; Kenneth D. Denman; Charles D. Kissner; and Edward F.		
11	Thompson		
12			
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
15	In re SHORETEL, INC.	Case No. C-08-00271-CRB	
16	SECURITIES LITIGATION S	TIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FURTHER EXTENDING TIME FOR	
17	I	DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO SECOND	
18		AMENDED CONSOLIDATED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT	
19			
20	This Document Relates To:		
21	ALL ACTIONS.		
22			
23	WHEREAS, on March 2, 2009, Plaintiffs Loren Swanson and Art Landesman		
24	(collectively, "Plaintiffs") filed their Second Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaint (the		
25	"SACC") against Defendants ShoreTel, Inc.; John W. Combs, Michael E. Healy, Edwin J. Basart,		
26	Mark Bregman, Gary J. Daichendt, Thomas Van Overbeek, Kenneth D. Denman, Charles D.		
27	Kissner, and Edward Thompson (the "ShoreTel Defendants"); and Lehman Brothers Inc. and J.P.		
28			
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	CASE NO. C-08-00271-CRB	

1	Morgan Securities, Inc. (the "Underwriter Defendants") (collectively, "Defendants");		
2	WHEREAS, this action is stayed as to Lehman Brothers Inc. as a result of its liquidation		
3	under the Securities Investor Protection Act;		
4	WHEREAS, Defendants filed a motion to dismiss and request for judicial notice on		
5	June 1, 2009;		
6	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed their opposition to Defendants' motion to dismiss on June 25,		
7	2009;		
8	WHEREAS, pursuant to the remaining parties' stipulation, approved by this Court on		
9	June 15, 2009, Defendants' current deadline for filing their reply brief is July 17, 2009 and a		
10	hearing is set for July 31, 2009 at 10:00 a.m.		
11	WHEREAS, the Shoretel Defendants requested and the parties have discussed and agreed		
12	to extend Defendants' deadline for filing their reply brief by one week to July 24, 2009;		
13	WHEREAS, the hearing on Defendants' motion to dismiss is currently set for July 31,		
14	2009 at 10:00 a.m. and the parties do not wish to reschedule the hearing;		
15	ACCORDINGLY, the parties, by and through their counsel of record, hereby stipulate		
16	that the new deadline for Defendants to file their reply brief is July 24, 2009. The hearing date		
17	will remain July 31, 2009 at 10:00 a.m.		
18	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER 2 CASE NO. C-08-00271-CRB		

FENWICK & WEST LLP Attorneys At Law San Francisco

1	Dated: July 9, 2009	FENWICK & WEST LLP
2		
3		By: /s/ Catherine D. Kevane Catherine D. Kevane
4		
5		Attorneys for Defendants ShoreTel, Inc.; John W. Combs; Michael E.
6		Healy; Edwin J. Basart; Mark F. Bregman; Gary J. Daichendt; Thomas Van Overbeek; Kenneth D. Denman; Charles D. Kissner; and
7		Edward F. Thompson
8		
9	Dated: July 9, 2009	BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP
10		
11		By: /s/ John D. Pernick John D. Pernick
12		Attorneys for Defendant
13		J.P. Morgan Securities Inc.
14	Dated: July 9, 2009	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
15		
16		By:/s/ Peter E. Borkon
17		Peter E. Borkon
18		Attorneys for Lead Plaintiffs and the Class
19		
20		SED ORDER
21	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22		NTES DISTRICT
23	Dated: July <u>09</u> , 2009	By 5
24		A The Honorable Cheel Brezer Initial of Cheel Brezer IT IS SO ORDERED Jurge
25		S IT IS SO ON
26		Z los B. Breyer
27		Z O Judge Charles R. Breyer
28		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	3 JISTRICASE NO. C-08-00271-CRB

FENWICK & WEST LLP Attorneys At Law San Francisco