/mburner v. 0.5.	Case3:08-cv-00325-EDL Document91	Eilod08/05/00 Deget of 2		
	Cases.06-CV-00525-EDL Documentar			
1	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ROGER S. RAPHAEL, SB# 111946			
2	E-Mail: <u>raphael@lbbslaw.com</u> SHAHRAM NASSI, SB# 239812			
3	E-Mail: <u>nassi@lbbslaw.com</u> One Sansome Street, Suite 1400			
4	San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 362-2580			
5	Facsimile: $(415) 434-0882$			
6	<ul> <li>6 Attorneys for Defendant</li> <li>U.S. FINANCIAL FUNDING, INC.</li> <li>7</li> </ul>			
7				
8	UNITED STATES	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (San Francisco)			
10				
11	DIAN C. LYMBURNER, individually, and on behalf of others similarly situated,	) CASE NO. CV 08-00325 EDL		
12	Plaintiff,			
13	V.	) STIPULATION AND [ <del>PROPOSE</del> D] ORDER ) REGARDING U.S. FINANCIAL FUNDING		
14	U.S. FINANCIAL FUNDING, INC., a	) INC.'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S THIRD ) AMENDED COMPLAINT		
15	California corporation, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,			
16	Defendants.			
18	WHEREAS, on July 15, 2009, Plaintiff filed a Third Amended Class Action Complaint;			
19	WHEREAS, Plaintiff and Defendant U.S. Financial Funding, Inc., have agreed that Defendant			
20	shall not be required to answer the Third Amended Class Action Complaint, and that all denials,			
21	responses, and affirmative defenses contained in the answer filed by Defendant to the original			
	22 complaint shall be responsive to the Third Amended Class Action Complaint;			
23	IT IS HEREBY AGREED AND STIPULATED by and among the parties, through their			
24	respective counsel, that:			
25 26	1. Defendant U.S. Financial Funding, Inc. shall not be required to answer the Third Amended			
26 27	Class Action Complaint, and that all denials, responses, and affirmative defenses contained in the			
27	answer filed by Defendant to the original complaint shall be responsive to the Third Amended Class			
LEWIS BRISBOIS	Action Complaint.			
BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW	4848-4734-0548.1         STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S 3 <sup>RD</sup> AMENDED COMPLAINT         LYMBURNER V U.S. FINANCIAL CV08-00325 EDL			

	Case3:08-cv-00325-EDL Document91 Filed08/05/09 Page2 of 3		
1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
2			
3	DATED: August 4, 2009 LEE & FIELDS, A.P.C.		
4			
5			
6	By Christopher P. Fields		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff, Dian C. Lymburner		
8			
9			
10	DATED: August 5, 2009 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP		
11			
12			
13	By Roger S. Raphael Shahram Nassi		
14	Attorneys for Defendant, U.S. Financial Funding, Inc.		
15			
16			
17	[P <del>ROPOSED</del> ] ORDER		
18	Pursuant to the Stipulation of the parties, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
19	1. Defendant U.S. Financial Funding, Inc. shall not be required to answer the Third		
20	Amended Class Action Complaint, and that all denials, responses, and affirmative defenses contained		
21	in the answer filed by Defendant to the original complaint shall be responsive to the Third Amended		
22	Class Action Complaint.		
23	IT IS SO ORDERED		
24			
25	Dated: August 6, 2009		
26	Hoa Ludge Elizabeth D. Laport		
27	United Traines District Court Judge		
28			
	4848-4734-0548.1 -2- STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S 3 <sup>RD</sup> AMENDED COMPLAINT		
	LYMBURNER V U.S. FINANCIAL CV08-00325 EDL		

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

	Case3:08-cv-00325-l	EDL Document91 Filed08/05/09 Page3 of 3	
1	<i>Dian C. Lymburner v. U.S. Financial Funding, Inc.</i> USDC, Northern District, Oakland, Case No. CV 08-0325 EDL		
2	Dian C. Lymburner v. U.S. Financial Funding, Inc.		
3	USDC, Northern District, Oakland, Case No. CV 08-0325 SBA		
4	E-FILING CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
5	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
6 7	At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to the action. My business address is One Sansome Street, Suite 1400, San Francisco, California 94104.		
8	On August 5, 2009, I served the following document(s):		
9	DEFENDANT U.S. FINAL		
10		<b>COPOSED] ORDER REGARDING U.S. FINANCIAL FUNDING</b>	
11	The documents we	re served by the following means:	
12		ISSION BY THE COURT'S ELECTRONIC FILING SYSTEM	
13		O PARTIES IN THIS ACTION.	
14	Jeffrey K. Berns David M. Arbogast	jberns@jeffbernslaw.com darbogast@law111.com	
15	Christopher Peter Fields Edward Young Lee	<u>chrisfields@leefieldslaw.com</u> Edlee@leefields.com, or Efields43@yahoo.com	
16	Paul R. Kiesel	Kiesel@kbla.com	
17	Patrick DeBlase Michael C. Eyerly	<u>deblase@kbla.com</u> eyerly@kbla.com	
18		Cgarcia@kbla.com	
19	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.		
20	[X] (FEDERAL) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court		
21	at whose direction the service was made.		
22	Executed on August	5, 2009, at San Francisco, California.	
23			
24		Arleigh Koulax	
25			
26			
27			
28			
	4848-4734-0548.1 -3- STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S 3 <sup>RD</sup> AMENDED COMPLAINT		
		MBURNER V U.S. FINANCIAL CV08-00325 EDL	

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW