Anderson-Fr	ancois v. County of Sonoma et al				Doc. 49
	Case 3:08-cv-00724-WHA	Document 47	Filed 04/06/2009	Page 1 of 3	
1	ROBERT R POWELL ESO CSB	# 1507/7			
2	ROBERT R. POWELL, ESQ. CSB# 159747 THE LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT R. POWELL				
	925 West Hedding Street San Jose, California 95126				
3	T: 408-553-0200 F: 408-553-0203				
4	Attorneys for Plaintiff				
5					
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
8					
9	(San Francisco Division)				
10	ORALEE ANDERSON-FRANCO	lS) Ca)	ase No. C-08-00724 W	/HA	
11	Plaintiff, v.	/	ΓΙΡULATION AND Ο Ε: RESCHEDULING (
12		/	JDGMENT HEARING		
13	COUNTY OF SONOMA, et al.,		urrent Date: April 30, 2		
14			oposed New Date: Ma me: 8:00 a.m.	y 21, 2009	
15) Pl	ace: Courtroom 9		
16	Defendants.)			
)			
17					
18					
19	WHEREAS, on March 26, 2009, Defendants County of Sonoma and Jerry Newman				
20	(the "County Defendants") submitted a Motion for Summary Judgment, or, alternatively,				
21	partial summary judgment, scheduled for hearing on April 30, 2009, and,				
22	WHEREAS Plaintiff's counsel is in trial in the Alameda County Superior Court on				
23	April 30, 2009, and,				
24	WHEREAS, Plaintiff's counsel also intends to file a Motion for Summary Judgment,				
25					
	and	1			=
	Stipulation and Order Re: Move Hearing Date Anderson v. Sonoma County U.S. District Court - Northern Dist (S.F)				
	Case No. C-08-00724 WHA				
				Doc	ckets.Justia.com

1	WHEREAS, Counsel for the Defendant City of Santa Rosa and its individually named					
2	employee defendants also intend to file a Motion for Summary Judgment,					
3	the parties through their counsel of record do hereby stipulate as follows and respectfully					
4	request this court order same:					
5	1. The hearing on the County Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment,					
5	currently set for April 30, 2009, at 8:00 a.m., be rescheduled to May 21, 2009, at					
, В	8:00 a.m.; and					
9	2. Any Motion for Summary Judgment to be filed by the Plaintiff, and/or the					
0	City of Santa Rosa and the individually named defendants thereof, shall also be					
1	set for hearing on May 21, 2009, at 8:00 a.m., and shall be filed no less than 35					
2	days before May 21, 2009.					
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED.					
4	SUNTAG & FEUERSTEIN,					
5	A Professional Corporation 4/3/2009 /S/ DANA A. SUNTAG					
6	DANA A. SUNTAG					
7	Attorneys for Defendants County Of Sonoma and Jerry Newman					
8	4/3/2009 <u>/S/ ROBERT R. POWELL</u>					
	ROBERT R. POWELL Attorneys for Plaintiff					
1	4/3/2009 <u>/S/ BRUCE A. KILDAY</u> BRUCE A. KILDAY					
2	Attorneys for Defendants City of Santa Rosa, Brad Connors, John					
3	Fehlman.					
4						
5						
	2 Stipulation and Order Re: Move Hearing Date					
	Anderson v. Sonoma County U.S. District Court - Northern Dist (S.F) Case No. C-08-00724 WHA					

1	ORDER					
2	Based on the stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing therefor, the court					
3	hereby orders and finds as follows;					
4						
5	1. The hearing on the County Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment,					
6	currently set for April 30, 2009, at 8:00 a.m. is rescheduled to May 21, 2009, at					
7	8:00 a.m.; and2. Any Motion for Summary Judgment to be filed by the Plaintiff, and/or theCity of Santa Rosa and the individually named defendants thereof, shall also be					
8						
9						
10	set for hearing on May 21, 2009, at 8:00 a.m., and shall be filed no less than 35					
11	days before May 21, 2009.					
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.					
13						
14	4/_10_/2009					
15	COURTENPETHEROTOISTRICT					
16	OF CALIFORNIA San Francisco					
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
	3 Stipulation and Order Re: Move Hearing Date					
	Anderson v. Sonoma County U.S. District Court - Northern Dist (S.F) Case No. C-08-00724 WHA					