1

2

23

24

25

26

27

28

## 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 8 FEDERICO QUEZADA, et al., No. C-08-0821 EMC 9 Plaintiffs, No. C-08-4905 EMC 10 v. ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' 11 RESPONSIBLE ROOFING, INC., et al., MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE 12 Defendants. (Docket No. 50 in C-08-0821; 13 **Docket No. 44 in C-08-4905**) FEDERICO QUEZADA, et al., 14 Plaintiffs. 15 16 RESPONSIBLE ROOFING, INC., et al., 17 Defendants. 18 19 20 21 22

Plaintiffs in the above-referenced cases, represented by the same counsel, have moved to consolidate the cases. The court ordered Defendants to file any opposition to the motion by October 28, 2009. See Docket No. 53 (Order at 2). The individual defendants in the case filed a statement of nonopposition to the motion to consolidate on November 5, 2009. The corporate defendant did not file any response to Plaintiffs' motion.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Court previously gave the corporate defendant until September 30, 2009, to find counsel and to have that counsel make an appearance in this case. See Docket No. 47 (Order at 2). No appearance was made by that date or has been made since.

## United States District Court For the Northern District of California

1	
2	T
3	p
4	a
5	
6	C
7	
8	
9	
10	Γ
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

In light of the nonopposition, the Court hereby **GRANTS** Plaintiffs' motion to consolidate. The Court also notes that Plaintiffs have made an adequate showing that consolidation is appropriate pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(a) -- *i.e.*, there are both common questions of law and fact in the cases.

This order disposes of Docket No. 50 in Case No. C-08-0821 EMC and Docket No. 44 in Case No. C-08-4905 EMC.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 16, 2009

EDWARD M. CHEN United States Magistrate Judge