

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FEDERICO QUEZADA, *et al.*,
Plaintiffs,

No. C-08-0821 EMC
No. C-08-4905 EMC

v.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

RESPONSIBLE ROOFING, INC., *et al.*,
Defendants.

PORFIRIO ZAMORA, *et al.*,
Plaintiffs,

v.

RESPONSIBLE ROOFING, INC., *et al.*,
Defendants.

On October 15, 2010, the Court issued a third amended case management and pretrial order. In that order, the parties were instructed to submit their pretrial filings (*e.g.*, a joint pretrial statement, trial briefs, motions in limine, proposed voir dire questions, jury instructions, verdict forms, discovery excerpts, expert information, exhibits, and exhibit list) by December 1, 2010. Both parties failed to file any of the required documents by December 1. Despite repeated calls to counsel, documents still have not been filed as of this date. Accordingly, the Court now issues this order to show cause.

More specifically, the parties are ordered to show cause why they should not be sanctioned, at a rate of \$300 per day (each), for each day that the pretrial filings are not timely submitted. The

1 Court shall not require a response to this order to show cause, nor shall it impose any monetary
2 sanctions, if a party's pretrial filings are submitted by 4:00 p.m., December 3, 2010. The parties
3 shall file their responses to this order to show cause by December 6, 2010, if their pretrial filings are
4 not submitted by 4:00 p.m. on December 3, 2010.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6
7 Dated: December 3, 2010

8 
9 _____
10 EDWARD M. CHEN
11 United States Magistrate Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28