

1 JAMES G. KREISSMAN, 206740
 jkreissman@stblaw.com
 2 SIMONA G. STRAUSS, 203062
 sstrauss@stblaw.com
 3 SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP
 2550 Hanover Street
 4 Palo Alto, California 94304
 Telephone: (650) 251-5000
 5 Facsimile: (650) 251-5002

6 Attorneys for Defendants
 SiRF Technology Holdings, Inc., Michael L. Canning,
 7 Diosdado P. Banatao, Geoffrey Ribar and Kanwar Chadha

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

11 IN RE SiRF TECHNOLOGY HOLDINGS, INC.
 12 SECURITIES LITIGATION

Case No. 3:08-cv-00856-MMC

CLASS ACTION

**JOINT STIPULATION AND
 13 ~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER CONTINUING
 14 THE HEARING ON DEFENDANTS'
 15 MOTION TO DISMISS THE
 16 AMENDED COMPLAINT**

17 THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO
 18 ALL ACTIONS

Prior Hearing Date: January 30,
 2009

New Hearing Date: March 6, 2009

[Declaration of Simona G. Strauss
 filed concurrently herewith]

1 Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-1(b), 6-2, 7-7(b) and 7-12 of the Federal District
2 for the Northern District of California, Defendants SiRF Technology Holdings, Inc., Michael L.
3 Canning, Diosdado P. Banatao, Geoffrey Ribar and Kanwar Chadha (collectively, “Defendants”),
4 and Lead Plaintiff (“Plaintiff), by and through their counsel, submit this Joint Stipulation and
5 Proposed Order Continuing the Hearing on Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss (the “Motion”) the
6 Amended Complaint for Violation of the Securities Laws (the “Amended Complaint”), scheduled
7 to be heard on January 30, 2009 (the “Hearing”).

8 WHEREAS, on June 24, 2008, this Court entered an Order Setting Schedule (the
9 “Scheduling Order”) setting a deadline for Plaintiff to file a consolidated complaint, setting a
10 briefing schedule for Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss, and requiring the parties to meet and confer
11 regarding early settlement no later than January 5, 2009;

12 WHEREAS, pursuant to the Scheduling Order, Plaintiff filed the Amended
13 Complaint on July 28, 2008;

14 WHEREAS, pursuant to the Scheduling Order, Defendants filed their Motion on
15 September 26, 2008;

16 WHEREAS, pursuant to the Scheduling Order, Plaintiff filed its opposition to the
17 Motion on November 17, 2008;

18 WHEREAS, pursuant to the Scheduling Order, Defendants filed their reply in
19 support of the Motion on December 17, 2008;

20 WHEREAS, pursuant to the Scheduling Order, the parties met and conferred about
21 early settlement on January 5, 2009;

22 WHEREAS, the Hearing is currently scheduled to occur on January 30, 2009;

23 WHEREAS, the parties wish to attempt to resolve the above-captioned matter and
24 related litigation pending in another court without the expense of additional litigation; and

25 WHEREAS, the parties agree that this Stipulation does not waive any of the rights
26 or defenses available to either party, and that each party reserves its rights to assert any and all
27 rights and defenses in the future;

28 NOW THEREFORE THE PARTIES JOINTLY REQUEST THE FOLLOWING:

1 Subject to the Court's approval, pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-1, 6-2, 7-7(b) and
2 7-12 of the Federal District Court for the Northern District of California, that the Hearing be
3 continued to 9 a.m. on Friday, March 6, 2009.

4 Dated: January 22, 2009

KIRBY McINERNEY LLP

5
6 /s/

7 _____
Randall K. Berger
Attorneys for Lead Plaintiff

8
9 Dated: January 22, 2009

SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP


10
11 /s/

12 _____
James G. Kreissman
Attorneys for Defendants SiRF Technology
Holdings, Inc., Michael L. Canning, Diosdado P.
13 Banatao, Geoffrey Ribar and Kanwar Chadha

14
15 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

16
17 Dated: January 23, 2009

By


18 _____
The Honorable Maxine M. Chesney
United States District Judge