


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[See Signature Page for Information on Counsel for Plaintiffs and Defendants]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION



ACER, INC., ACER AMERICA CORPORATION and GATEWAY, INC.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED, PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION, and ALLIACENSE LIMITED,
Defendants.

Case No. 3:08-cv-00877 JW
STIPULATION TO CONSOLIDATE AND EXPAND PAGE LIMITS FOR CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEFING
[RELATED CASES]

HTC CORPORATION, HTC AMERICA, INC.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED, PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION, and ALLIACENSE LIMITED,
Defendants.

Case No. 3:08-cv-00882 JW

BARCO N.V., a Belgian corporation,
Plaintiff,
v.
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LTD., PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORP., ALLIACENSE LTD.,
Defendants.

Case No. 3:08-cv-05398 JW

1 On November 23, 2010, Judge Fogel granted a stipulated request expanding page limits for
2 claim construction briefing for the parties Acer, Inc., Acer America, Inc. and Gateway, Inc.
3 (collectively “Acer”) (Dkt. 211), Barco, N.V. (“Barco”) (Dkt. 114), HTC Corp. and HTC America,
4 Inc. (collectively “HTC”) (Dkt. 227) (Acer, Barco and HTC collectively “Plaintiffs”) and
5 Technology Properties Ltd., Patriot Scientific Corp. and Alliacense, Ltd. (collectively “TPL” or
6 “Defendants”). In particular, this Court permitted the page limits under Local Rules 7-2, 7-3 and
7 7-4 on the parties’ claim construction briefs to be expanded as follows:

8 1. The page limit of Defendants’ opening brief was expanded from 25 to 30 pages;

9 2. The page limit of Plaintiffs’ combined responsive brief was expanded from 25 to 35
10 pages; and

11 3. The page limit of Defendants’ reply brief was expanded from 15 to 20 pages.

12 Plaintiffs and Defendants are uncertain as to whether the stipulated request of November 23,
13 2010 remains in effect. Accordingly, Plaintiffs and Defendants, pursuant to Northern District of
14 California Civil Local Rule 7-12, make this stipulated request for an order consolidating and
15 expanding the page limits for claim construction briefing:

16 **WHEREAS**, the parties met and conferred and agreed that each side, Plaintiffs and
17 Defendants, will submit combined briefs;

18 **WHEREAS**, the parties have further agreed that the page limits provided in Northern
19 District Local Rules 7-2, 7-3 and 7-4 should be expanded for this combined briefing;

20 **ACCORDINGLY**, it is **HEREBY STIPULATED** by and among the parties and their
21 counsel of record, who respectfully request that the Court expand the page limits under Local
22 Rules 7-2, 7-3 and 7-4 on the parties’ claim construction briefs as follows:

23 1. The page limit of Plaintiffs’ combined responsive brief is expanded from 25 to 30
24 pages; and

25 2. The page limit of Defendants’ reply brief is expanded from 15 to 20 pages.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: January 5, 2012

COOLEY LLP

By: /s/ Kyle Chen
Kyle D. Chen, Esq.
kyle.chen@cooley.com
Heidi L. Keefe, Esq.
hkeefe@cooley.com
Mark R. Weinstein, Esq.
mweinstein@cooley.com
Cooley LLP
3000 El Camino Real
Five Palo Alto Square, 4th Floor
Palo Alto, California 94306
Phone: (650) 843-5000
Fax: (650) 857-0663

Attorneys for HTC Corporation and HTC America, Inc.

Dated: January 5, 2012


BAKER & MCKENZIE

By: /s/ Edward Runyan
Edward Runyan, Esq.
Edward.Runyan@bakernet.com
Baker & McKenzie
130 East Randolph Drive
Chicago, IL 60601
Phone: (312) 861-8811
Fax: (312) 698-2341

Attorneys for Barco, N.V.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 5, 2012


Hon. James Ware
United States District Court Judge