urry		Do	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10	ALEX JACKSON,	No. C 08-0923 MMC	
11	Petitioner,	ORDER GRANTING RESPONDENT'S REQUEST FOR NUNC PRO TUNC EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE	
12	V.		
13	BEN CURRY, Warden,	SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF	
14	Respondent.		
15			
16	Before the Court is respondent's Request for Nunc Pro Tunc Extension of Time,		
17	filed August 5, 2010, by which respondent seeks leave to file a supplemental brief		
18	regarding the significance of Hayward v. Marshall, 603 F.3d 546 (9th Cir. 2010), with		
19	respect to the instant action. As respondent concedes, the supplemental brief is not timely		
20	under the Court's June 16, 2010 Order. Although respondent's counsel seeks to explain		
21	such delay by stating that she "did not receive a copy" and "only became aware of the		
00			

22 Order . . . when [she] received Petitioner's responding brief" (see Declaration ¶ 4), the 23

Court notes that all parties were served with said order by electronic filing on June 16,

24 2010. Nevertheless, because the Court remains interested in hearing from both parties,

- 25 the Court will allow the late filing.
 - IT IS SO ORDERED.

26

28

27 Dated: August 24, 2010

MAXINE M. CHESNEY United States District Judge