

1 William H. Manning (*pro hac vice*)
 E-mail: WHManning@rkmc.com
 2 Brad P. Engdahl (*pro hac vice*)
 E-mail: BPEngdahl@rkmc.com
 3 **Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi L.L.P.**
 2800 LaSalle Plaza
 4 800 LaSalle Avenue
 Minneapolis, MN 55402
 5 Telephone: 612-349-8500
 Facsimile: 612-339-4181

6 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and
 7 Counterdefendants Advanced Micro
 Devices, Inc., et al.

Robert T. Haslam (Bar No. 71134)
 E-mail: Rhaslam@cov.com
Covington & Burling L.L.P.
 333 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 700
 Redwood Shores, CA 94065
 Telephone: 650-632-4700
 Facsimile: 650-632-4800

Christine Saunders Haskett (Bar No. 188053)
 E-mail: Chaskett@cov.com
Covington & Burling L.L.P.
 One Front Street
 San Francisco, CA 94111
 Telephone: 415-591-7087
 Facsimile: 415-955-6587

Attorneys for Defendants and Counterclaimants
 Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., et al.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

14 ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC., et
 15 al.,

16 Plaintiffs,

17 v.

18 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., et
 al.,

19 Defendants.

Case. No. CV-08-0986-SI

**STIPULATION REGARDING PAGE
 LIMITS FOR CLAIM CONSTRUCTION
 BRIEFING**

[Civil L.R. 7-12]

21 Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. and ATI Technologies ULC (collectively “AMD”), and
 22 Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.; Samsung Semiconductor, Inc.; Samsung Austin Semiconductor,
 23 LLC; Samsung Electronics America, Inc.; Samsung Telecommunications America, LLP; Samsung
 24 Techwin Co., Ltd.; and Samsung Opto-Electronics America, Inc. (collectively “Samsung”) jointly
 25 submit this Stipulation regarding page limits for claim construction briefing.

26 WHEREAS, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-4(b), each party claiming patent infringement is
 27 allotted 25 pages for its opening brief in support of its constructions of terms from its asserted
 28 patents.

WHEREAS, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-4(b), each party is allotted 25 pages for its responsive brief in support of its constructions of terms from the other party's asserted patents.

WHEREAS, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-4(b), each party is allotted 15 pages for its reply brief in support of its constructions of terms from its asserted patents.

WHEREAS, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-4(b), the total pages of claim construction briefing would not exceed 130 pages.

WHEREAS, the parties have met and conferred and reached agreement that 15 terms from AMD's asserted patents are currently in dispute and 4 terms from Samsung's asserted patents are currently in dispute (Dkt. #92).

WHEREAS, the parties wish to enter a joint stipulation adjusting the page limits for claim construction briefing to reflect the number of terms that will be discussed in each brief.

Now, therefore, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-12, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between AMD and Samsung, that:

1. With the Court's approval, the parties shall adjust the page limits for claim construction briefing in accordance with the following table, which results in a maximum of 140 pages (10 additional pages). The adjustment adds 10 pages to AMD's opening brief addressing the 15 terms from AMD's patents and adds 10 pages to Samsung's responsive brief addressing the 15 terms from AMD's patents. The adjustment subtracts 5 pages from Samsung's opening brief addressing the 4 terms from Samsung's patents and subtracts 5 pages from AMD's responsive brief addressing the 4 terms from Samsung's patents:

	Terms from AMD's Patents	Terms from Samsung's patents
Opening Brief	35 Pages	20 Pages
Responsive Brief	35 Pages	20 Pages
Reply Brief	15 Pages	15 Pages
TOTAL	85 Pages	55 Pages

1 DATED: January 23, 2009

ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI L.L.P.

2
3 By: /s/ William H. Manning

4 William H. Manning

Brad P. Engdahl

5 **ATTORNEYS FOR ADVANCED MICRO**
6 **DEVICES, INC. AND ATI TECHNOLOGIES**
7 **ULC**

8 DATED: January 23, 2009

COVINGTON & BURLING L.L.P.

9 By: /s/ Christine S. Haskett

10 Robert T. Haslam

Christine Saunders Haskett

11 **ATTORNEYS FOR SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS**
12 **CO., LTD.; SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR,**
13 **INC.; SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR,**
14 **LLC; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,**
15 **INC.; SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS**
16 **AMERICA, LLC; SAMSUNG TECHWIN CO.,**
17 **LTD.; AND SAMSUNG OPTO-ELECTRONICS**
18 **AMERICA, INC.**

19 *Plaintiffs' counsel attests that concurrence in the*
20 *filing of this document has been obtained from the*
21 *above-named signatory.*

22 **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23
24
25
26
27
28
January __, 2009



Honorable Susan Illston
United States District Judge